

Validation of Growth Layer Group (GLG) depositional rate using daily incremental growth lines in the dentin of beluga (*Delphinapterus leucas* (Pallas, 1776)) teeth

David A. Waugh, Robert S. Suydam, Joseph D. Ortiz, J. G. M. Thewissen

Published: January 16, 2018 • <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190498>

Abstract

Counts of Growth Layer Groups (GLGs) in the dentin of marine mammal teeth are widely used as indicators of age. In most marine mammals, observations document that GLGs are deposited yearly, but in beluga whales, some studies have supported the view that two GLGs are deposited each year. Our understanding of beluga life-history differs substantially depending on assumptions regarding the timing of GLG deposition; therefore, resolving this issue has important considerations for population assessments. In this study, we used incremental lines that represent daily pulses of dentin mineralization to test the hypothesis that GLGs in beluga dentin are deposited on a yearly basis. Our estimate of the number of daily growth lines within one GLG is remarkably close to 365 days within error, supporting the hypothesis that GLGs are deposited annually in beluga. We show that measurement of daily growth increments can be used to validate the time represented by GLGs in beluga. Furthermore, we believe this methodology may have broader applications to age estimation in other taxa.

Citation: Waugh DA, Suydam RS, Ortiz JD, Thewissen JGM (2018) Validation of Growth Layer Group (GLG) depositional rate using daily incremental growth lines in the dentin of beluga (*Delphinapterus leucas* (Pallas, 1776)) teeth. PLoS ONE 13(1): e0190498. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190498>

Editor: Carlos M. Isales, Augusta University, UNITED STATES

Received: July 24, 2017; **Accepted:** December 15, 2017; **Published:** January 16, 2018

Copyright: © 2018 Waugh et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability: All relevant data are within the paper.

Funding: This work was funded by the North Slope Borough Department of Wildlife Management. The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Counts of growth layers in the dentin of marine mammal teeth are widely used as indicators of age [1,2]. The most prominent growth layers in cetacean teeth occur in the dentin (Fig 1A), and in most cetacean species these layers form annually [1,3–5]. However, with respect to beluga whales, the periodicity of deposition of these large-scale growth layers has remained the subject of uncertainty. In this study, we used short-period incremental lines [6] that are assumed to represent daily pulses of dentin mineralization to test the hypothesis that large-scale growth layers in beluga dentin are deposited on a yearly basis.




Fig 1. Beluga tooth basic histology and Growth Layer Groups.

(A), half tooth (2014LDL8T4), cut longitudinally and polished, GLGs marked with white double-headed arrows in magnified view delimited by red box; (B), ground section (2014LDL8T3) with histological features labeled; (C-D), demineralized sections (2012LDL8T2), GLGs marked with yellow double-headed arrows; (C), stained with Mayer's hematoxylin; (D), and Bielschowsky's silver stain.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190498.g001>

The use of dentin growth layers to assess the age of marine mammals began in the 1950s and was rapidly adopted as a valid age assessment tool in toothed-whale populations [2,7]. In an effort to standardize terminology used in age estimation of marine mammals, Perrin and Myrick [8] labeled the large-scale repeating patterns in the dentin as: *Growth Layer Groups* (GLGs). The term Growth Layer Group, by definition, does not specify a unit of time in which the dentin was deposited [8]. However, in most marine mammals one GLG has been shown to encompass the hard tissue deposited during one year [1,3–5]. Unlike the teeth of most mammals, beluga teeth, as well as those of sperm whales, possess an open pulp cavity that allows dentin to be deposited through most of the animal's life [9] producing an unusually long record of near continuous dentin deposition. Thus, an accurate count of the GLG's contained in a tooth provides an age estimate in years if a complete record of dentin is preserved.

The ability to accurately estimate the age of an animal is vital for the assessment and management of wildlife populations [10–12] and is of special importance in assessing and managing populations that are threatened or live in fragile or changing environments like the Arctic [13,14]. In addition, other intrinsic and extrinsic events or signals may be recorded within dentin [3,15]. In cetaceans, information shown to have been recorded in dentin include: birth [15–17]; sexual maturity [18–21], weaning [20,21] lactation [22], and environmental events [23–25]. Thus understanding the temporal framework of growth layers in dentin is the first step in placing these other signals in a usable context.

Sergeant (1959) studied beluga teeth and tentatively proposed that belugas deposit two semi-annual GLGs per year; this view is supported by some studies [9,26–31]. However, other studies support the view that a single GLG is formed each year [4,5,12,32–36].

In prior studies to validate the periodicity of cetacean GLGs, the teeth from either known-age individuals, or teeth from individuals administered tracers such as the antibiotic tetracycline at specific intervals, typically from captive populations, were examined [15,19,37–41]. In beluga, tetracycline-based studies have either been too short, or wild animals administered tetracycline could not be recaptured. Beluga in captivity may produce teeth with poorly formed GLGs (i.e. [4,26,29]) and the poor readability of these teeth has hampered studies of both known-age animals and those administered tetracycline. In some cases, only the beluga's time in captivity is known, because the age at time of capture is unknown, or loosely constrained. In addition, if the beluga's tooth wear has progressed to the point of eroding the neonatal line (Fig 1B) or subsequent GLGs, resultant counts provide only a minimum age, [4,9,31,42] complicating studies involving known-age animals. Thus, methods used successfully to establish the time represented by GLGs in other cetaceans have not produced universally accepted results concerning age assessment in beluga, mostly due to a lack of suitable specimens. Newer methods of age estimation such as aspartic acid racemization [43] and analysis of fatty acids [44] are under development for use with beluga, but they still require calibration with known-age animals or must rely on some other independent method of age assessment.

Other methodologies used to determine duration of GLG formation in beluga have involved comparing growth curves and life-history events such as age of sexual maturity to those of other cetaceans [12,34], the use of growth layers in the mandible [31], and comparing histories of isotopic signals in dentin with other species of known-age [35]. Stewart et al. [33] used the appearance of a ¹⁴C spike produced by the atmospheric testing of atomic bombs in the 1960's and its incorporation into beluga dentin as a time marker and found support for the one GLG per year hypothesis

Short-period incremental lines have been experimentally shown to represent daily deposits during periods when teeth are forming in humans [45], other primates [46–48], pigs [49], dogs [47,48], alligators [50], rats [47,48,51], rabbits [52], mice [47,48], and voles [53]. These daily growth lines have also been referred to as "von Ebner lines". Because this term has been used by various authors to describe lines of different physical scales and periodicities [6,54], for the sake of clarity, we avoided using it; other than to discuss its usage in the literature as related to cetaceans.

Few studies have reported the presence of short-period growth lines in the dentin of cetaceans. Keil and von Nolting [55] describe 'incremental lines' in whale dentin although they do not address the periodicity, their description suggests that they are describing the same type of incremental lines that we observed and illustrated in beluga (see Discussion). In the dolphin *Stenella attenuata*, Myrick [56] reported the presence of 'von Ebner' lines which he interprets as having a daily periodicity. His study is the first, to our knowledge, to recognize these short-period incremental growth lines as daily growth lines in cetacean dentin. Myrick [56] found that each GLG was subdivided by intermediate-scale layers into 13 sub-units, which he attributed to lunar cycles. Within the 13 sublayers, he counted between 27–28 von Ebner lines, which support his interpretation that they represent daily growth increments, an interpretation with which Hohn [7] agreed, and we concur. Klevezal (1996, p.9 fig.5) shows a ground beluga tooth section with a caption indicating the presence of 'von Ebner' lines. In the text she suggests these layers are analogous to the intermediate-scale 'lunar' sub-units of Myrick [56]. From the context, it is clear that Klevezal [3] is suggesting the lines she figures are intermediate in scale and do not correspond to the daily lines described by Myrick. This seeming contradiction illustrates the divergent usage of the term "von Ebner lines", and explains why we refer to the lines described in this study simply as short-period, or daily, incremental lines.

To test the emerging consensus that beluga whales form one GLG per year, we used short-period incremental lines [6] preserved in the dentin to estimate the time represented in one GLG. By counting the number of short-period lines relative to GLG's we can establish the timescale of both the GLG as annual, and the short-period incremental lines as daily, a methodology that to our knowledge has not been applied in marine mammal teeth.

Of the growth lines we observed in our material, the finest (short-period incremental lines) and the coarsest (GLGs) are sufficiently well-defined in our samples to be quantified at this time. We thus focused on analysis of the daily incremental growth lines and GLGs observed in the samples for this study.

the time in the 20% silver nitrate to 20 from 15 minutes, and increased the time in the 5% sodium thiosulfate from 2 to 5 minutes. After the final rinse, the slides were air-dried overnight, cleared with xylene and cover slipped with Permount.

Silver stains have a reputation for being difficult to reproduce [69]. Our experience reinforced this observation and our (DAW) first attempts at using the silver stain produced poor results. Upon the recommendation of René Buesa (personal communication) we added a few drops of (reserved) silver nitrate solution back into the ammoniacal silver solution after the precipitate from the sodium hydroxide had just been dissolved, insuring the correct amount of silver and keeping the ammonia levels in check, which might otherwise detach sections from the slide. This step seemed to increase the repeatability of the protocol. It was found that after demineralization, a secondary fixation step in 10% neutral buffered formalin was needed, either before or after sectioning, for the silver to adequately stain the tissue. At this time, it is unclear if longer initial fixation times would eliminate the need for a second fixation step after demineralization.

Microscopy and Image analysis

Photomicrographs for quantification of incremental line spacing were taken on a Zeiss Imager M2 compound microscope equipped with a high-resolution CMOS camera (monochrome) and motorized stage. Images of the daily short-period lines were taken with a 63X oil immersion objective as virtual stacks of around 20 images with a z-spacing of 0.2–0.3 μm , producing a stack of images with successive deeper focal planes. Short-period lines are more distinct in some focal planes than others, hence the z-stack improves our resolving abilities over having to pick a single plane of focus. Images of the Mayer's hematoxylin stained sections were taken with a green filter in the light path to improve image contrast.

The one ground section was imaged under differential interference contrast on a compound microscope (Olympus BX60) and camera (monochrome), using a 40X oil lens. A coverslip was temporarily attached using glycerin and regular immersion oil was used between the coverslip and the objective. The lower index of refraction of the glycerin improved the contrast over use of immersion oil between the cover glass and the tissue.

The computer software Fiji [70], a variant of NIH ImageJ, was used for image analysis and measurement. The Pairwise Stitching plugin [71] written for Fiji was used to "stitch" adjacent image stacks together producing one stack covering the entire width of one GLG (typically 4–5 stacks). Measurements of incremental line spacing were made in Fiji. As different focal planes offered the best clarity for a given region it was impossible to measure all the lines in a single focal plane. Images figured in this paper were prepared using the 3D EDF plugin [72] for Fiji. This plugin takes the in-focus areas from an image stack and merges them together to produce a composite image containing only the focused regions.

Seven unique GLGs from three teeth were selected for the quantification of incremental line spacing based on the clarity of the incremental lines within a given GLG, and the certainty in which the boundaries of the GLG could be identified. GLGs that had ambiguous boundaries were avoided. Some incremental lines had indistinct boundaries, and we chose to measure the distance between two lines from the center of one dark line to the next, as the center of each line could be picked more precisely in the photomicrographs. Only one measurement was taken between any two incremental lines. Total GLG thickness was measured in the same image stack as the incremental line spacing. All measurements were taken following the direction of the tubules (Fig 2C and 2D) which follow the direction of dentin growth [73–75].




Fig 2. Daily incremental lines.

(A–D), daily incremental lines (yellow arrows) in beluga dentin. (A), incremental lines following the contours of calcospheres (c), demineralized section (2012LDL8T2), Bielschowsky's silver stain, monotone photomicrograph; (B), ground section (2014LDL8T3), differential interference contrast, monotone photomicrograph; (C), Incremental lines and dentine tubules (white arrows) demineralized section (2012LDL3T6), Mayer's hematoxylin, monotone photomicrograph; (D), Incremental lines demineralized section (2012LDL8T2), Bielschowsky's silver stain, monotone photomicrograph.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190498.g002>

We tested the hypothesis that one GLG is deposited annually by predicting the spacing between adjacent incremental lines under two scenarios, one in which a GLG represents one year, and one in which two GLGs are deposited on a semi-annual basis (Table 2). The predicted mean incremental line thickness for one GLG per year is simply total GLG thickness divided by 365. The predicted spacing between daily incremental lines if two GLGs are deposited per year is GLG thickness divided by 182.5 (Table 2). The estimated number of days represented by each GLG in our sample was calculated as the thickness of the GLG divided by the mean spacing of daily incremental lines within that GLG (Table 2). Errors are given as three times the standard error to provide a more conservative estimate of error in estimation of the average incremental line thickness and the estimated days per GLG and are justified by our relatively small sample size.

Daily incremental lines in beluga dentin

Daily incremental growth lines in dentin have been recognized in a number of taxa [45–53], and it is no surprise we observe them in beluga. Kear and von Nolting [55] may have been the first to describe them in the Odontoceti. Although the scale and periodicity or are not stated, Kiel and von Nolting described incremental lines that follow calcospheres in the dentin (discussed below) and we suggest that they observed the same type of daily incremental lines that we described in beluga. Myrick [56] may have been the first to recognize these short-period lines as representing daily incremental growth lines in the Odontoceti.

Dean [66] summarized some methods that have been used to increase the visibility of incremental lines in dentin and notes that these lines are often more apparent in fossilized dentin. For beluga teeth, we can conclude that the treatment used to extract and store the teeth did not preclude observation of incremental lines, but we cannot assess the possibility that long-term storage and maceration did not enhance incremental line visibility. Our results document that incremental lines occur in beluga dentin, and that silver or hematoxylin are suitable stains for their study.

Daily incremental lines may not always be easily observable, and their histologic expression may be variable even within different sections from a single tooth [49,53,54,66,74,77,78]. A similar situation seems to exist in beluga dentin. Although we observed short-period lines in all teeth examined, not all sections or GLGs within a section contained enough clearly resolved incremental lines to be quantified in a meaningful way. Some of this variability likely stems from the difficulty in obtaining a perfectly longitudinal section through the axis of a curved tooth.

Within a single GLG, the visibility of the short-period incremental lines seems to increase in regions containing higher densities of stained dentine tubules, so that if a series of lines are followed in a direction perpendicular to the growth of the dentin, the lines may appear to fade in and out with the apparent tubule density (Fig 2C). In this case, local histochemical reactions may be altering the expression, possibly related to differences in the amount, or nature, of the peritubular dentin which develops within the tubules [79]. Despite these difficulties, we were able to demonstrate the presence of short-period incremental lines in beluga dentin, and in numbers that allow us to test a biologically relevant hypothesis.

Dentin formation is a two-step process, in which the organic matrix is secreted and subsequently mineralized at a later time [80]. Both processes follow a circadian rhythm [80]. The advancing mineralization front is made up of discrete centers of mineralization, and in areas where these point sources do not coalesce, remnant spherical to semispherical zones known as calcospheres are produced [76,81–83]. These calcospheres, or regions of globular dentin have been previously described in the dentin of toothed whales [22,55,84]. Regions containing multiple calcospheres are known as globular dentin [80]. Fig 2A illustrates such a zone in beluga dentin. When calcospheres do coalesce, a more linear calcification front (Fig 2D) is produced [77,82]. The recognition of calcospheres, and their relationship to the short-period incremental lines in our beluga sections, (Fig 2A–2D) allow us to infer, as did Beynon et al. [73] in a fossil primate, that the short-period incremental lines we observe are the result of pulses in mineralization, and not the cyclic production of organic matrix. Given the number of studies experimentally showing that dentin mineralization proceeds in a circadian rhythm [45–53] we can conclude that the short-period incremental lines we observe in beluga represent daily increments of growth.

The relationship between the calcospheres and the incremental lines also allows us to conclude that the lines we observed in the de-mineralized sections stained with hematoxylin or silver (Fig 2A, 2C and 2D), and in the ground section (Fig 2B), are of the same origin. Decalcification and dehydration of mineralized tissues often cause the tissue to shrink [85], explaining the difference in spacing we observe in de-mineralized (1.6 μm) and ground section (2.3 μm) preparations. Dean [66] found that de-mineralized preparations in modern human teeth had incremental lines that were 25–29% closer together than in untreated ground sections, which is consistent with our observations comparing ground and demineralized preparations, although only one nondemineralized section was examined. In this context, ground sections may provide the most accurate absolute rates of dentin formation, but the periodicities remain unchanged in both ground and demineralized stained sections.

Validation of GLG's using daily incremental lines

Daily incremental lines have seen the widest application in the study of dentin accretion rates and the evolution of tooth formation times in modern humans, primates, and fossil hominids [75,86–88]. Daily incremental growth lines have been used to measure dentin growth and tooth replacement rates in dinosaurs [89–91]. We apply similar methodologies using daily incremental lines in beluga teeth to estimate the time represented by a single GLG.

Comparison of mean daily incremental line spacing in our samples with the predicted values for either one annual GLG or two semi-annual GLGs per year, showed clear support for the hypothesis that one GLG represents one year's growth (Fig 3B, Table 2). As another measure, the mean number of estimated days in the seven GLGs measured is 354.22 ($3\sigma_{SE}$: +24.91, -21.27), a figure that is consistent with the 365 day-long year within statistical error (Fig 2C). Our results confirm other studies that support the deposition of a single GLG per year in beluga [4, 12,32–36,92], providing an independent test using a new methodology.

We have shown that measurement of daily growth increments can be used in the validation of the rate of GLG formation in beluga. This methodology may find applications in other Cetacea, especially for cases in which the logistics of marking animals with tetracycline are not feasible, and know-age animals are unavailable or where growth in captivity results in altered histological characteristics compared to those seen in wild populations. The technical hurdles are less involved than in the use of tetracycline, and issues involving the readability of GLGs in captive animals are avoided. Our methodology is complementary to existing methods, and not a replacement, although in the case of fossil or extinct taxa, validation via daily incremental lines may provide the only feasible option. That Myrick [56] was able to resolve daily lines in a dolphin sample provides optimism for potential broader applicability.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Village of Pt. Lay, Alaska, the Alaska Beluga Whaling Committee and local subsistence hunters for allowing the examination of their whales and collection of samples. The NSB-DWM and NSB School District provided logistic support for field work. M. Christopher Dean provided encouragement to attempt the silver staining again, René Buesa provided helpful advice

on the complexities of silver staining, Jeff Mellott, graciously allowed us to use his imaging system. Brain Foster and Barbara Waugh graciously provided useful comments on the various drafts of the manuscript. We also thank Aleta Hohn and one anonymous reviewer and editor whose efforts have improved this submission. Denise McBurney and Sharon Usip assisted with various aspects of this research. Special thanks to Inter-library loan staff at NEOMED, and contributing institutions, for finding many difficult to locate papers; our work would not be possible without your assistance.

References

1. Hohn AA. Age Estimation. In: Perrin WF, Würsig B, Thewissen JGM, editors. *Encyclopedia of Marine Mammals*. 2nd ed. New York: Elsevier; 2008. pp. 11–17.
2. Scheffer VB, Myrick ACJ. A review of studies to 1970 of growth layers in the teeth of marine mammals. In: Perrin WF, Myrick ACJ, editors. *Growth of Odontocetes and Sirenians: Problems in Age Determination: Proceedings of the International Conference on Determining Age of Odontocete Cetaceans [and Sirenians]*, La Jolla, California, September 5–19, 1978. International Whaling Commission; 1980. pp. 51–64.
3. Klievezaal GA. *Recording structures of mammals: determination of age and reconstruction of life history*. Rotterdam: A. A. Balkema; 1996.
4. Lockyer CH, Hohn AA, Doidge WD, Heide-Jørgensen MP, Suydam RS. Age determination in belugas (*Delphinapterus leucas*): A quest for validation of dentinal layering. *Aquat Mamm*. 2007;33: 293–304.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
5. Hohn AA, Lockyer CH, Acquarone M. Report of the Workshop on Age Estimation in Monodontids. NAMMCO Scientific Publications No 10. NAMMCO; 2016. p. 68.
6. Dean MC. The nature and periodicity of incremental lines in primate dentine and their relationship to periradicular bands in OH16 (*Homo habilis*). In: Moggi-Cecchi J, editor. *Aspects of Dental Biology: Palaeontology, Anthropology and Evolution*. Florence: International Institute for the Study of Man; 1995. pp. 239–265.
7. Hohn AA. Age determination and age related factors in the teeth of Western North Atlantic bottlenose dolphins. *Sci Reports Whales Res Inst*. 1980;32: 39–66. Available: <https://swfsc.noaa.gov/publications/CR/1980/8026.PDF>
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
8. Perrin WF, Myrick ACJ. Report of the Workshop. In: Perrin WF, Myrick ACJ, editors. *Growth of Odontocetes and Sirenians: Problems in Age Determination: Proceedings of the International Conference on Determining Age of Odontocete Cetaceans [and Sirenians]*, La Jolla, California, September 5–19, 1978. International Whaling Commission; 1980. pp. 1–50.
9. Sergeant DE. Biology of White Whales (*Delphinapterus leucas*) in Western Hudson Bay. *J Fish Res Board Canada*. NRC Research Press Ottawa, Canada; 1973;30: 1065–1090.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
10. Eberhardt LL. Assessing the Dynamics of Wild Populations. *J Wildl Manage*. 1985;49: 997.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
11. Caughley G. Mortality Patterns in Mammals. *Ecology*. 1966;47: 906–918.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
12. Braham HW. Review of reproduction in the white whale, *Delphinapterus leucas*, narwhal, *Monodon monoceros*, and Irrawaddy dolphin, *Orcaella brevirostris*, with comments on stock assessment. *Reports Int Whal Comm*. 1984;6: 81–89.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
13. Hauser DDW, Laidre KL, Stafford KM, Stern HL, Suydam RS, Richard PR. Decadal shifts in autumn migration timing by Pacific Arctic beluga whales are related to delayed annual sea ice formation. *Glob Chang Biol*. 2017;23: 2206–2217. pmid:28001336
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
14. O’Corry-Crowe GM, Mahoney AR, Suydam R, Quakenbush L, Whiting A, Lowry L, et al. Genetic profiling links changing sea-ice to shifting beluga whale migration patterns. *Biol Lett*. 2016;12: 0–4.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
15. Myrick ACJ. Some New and Potential Uses of Dental Layers in Studying Delphinid Populations. In: Pryor K, Norris KS, editors. *Dolphin Societies: Discoveries and Puzzles*. Berkely: University of California Press; 1991. pp. 251–279.
16. Klievezaal GA, Myrick ACJ. Marks in tooth dentine of female dolphins (Genus *Stenella*) as indicators of parturition. *J Mammal*. 1984;65: 103–110.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
17. Klievezaal GA, Sukhovskaya LI, Vilenkin AY. The structure of “breeding marks” in the dentine of Odontoceti. *Zool Zhurnal*. 1987;66: 1886–1891.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar

18. Klevezal GA, Tormosov DD. Isolation of local groups of sperm whales based on the character of the layers of dentine of teeth. *Trans Atl Sci Res Inst Fish Oceanogr.* 1971;39.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
19. Lockyer CH. A report on patterns of deposition of dentine and cement in teeth of pilot whales, genus *Globicephala*. *Reports Int Whal Comm Spec Issue* 14. 1993; 137–161.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
20. Luque PL, Pierce GJ, Learmonth JA, Ieno E, Santos B, López A, et al. Are mineralization anomalies in common dolphin teeth associated with life-history events and/or the exposure to anthropogenic pollutants? *J Zool.* 2013;291: 194–204.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
21. Luque PL, Pierce GJ, Learmonth JA, Santos MB, Ieno E, López A, et al. Dentinal anomalies in teeth of harbour porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) from Scottish waters: are they linked to sexual maturation and environmental events? *J Mar Biol Assoc UK.* 2009;89: 893–902.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
22. Boyde A, Jones SJ, Hobdell MH. The mineralizing front of whale dentin. *J Dent Res.* 1968;47: 1007.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
23. Manzanilla SR. The 1982–1983 El Niño event recorded in dentinal growth layers in teeth of Peruvian dusky dolphins (*Lagenorhynchus obscurus*). *Can J Zool.* 1988;67: 2120–2125.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
24. Dellabianca NA, Hohn AA, Goodall RNP, Pousa JL, Macleod CD, Lima M. Influence of climate oscillations on dentinal deposition in teeth of Commerson's dolphin. *Glob Chang Biol.* 2012;18: 2477–2486.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
25. Hamilton V, Evans K, Raymond B, Hindell MA. Environmental influences on tooth growth in sperm whales from southern Australia. *J Exp Mar Bio Ecol.* 2013;446: 236–244.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
26. Brodie PF. The beluga (*Delphinapterus leucas*); growth at age based on a captive specimen and a discussion of factors affecting natural mortality estimates. *Rep Int Whal Comm.* 1982;32: 445–447.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
27. Goren AD, Brodie PF, Spotte S, Ray GC, Kaufman HW, Gwinnett AJ, et al. Growth layer groups (GLGs) in the teeth of an adult belukha whale (*Delphinapterus leucas*) of known age: evidence for two annual layers. *Mar Mammal Sci.* 1987;3: 14–21.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
28. Brodie PF, Geraci JR, St Aubin DJ. Dynamics of tooth growth in beluga whales, *Delphinapterus leucas*, and effectiveness of tetracycline as a marker for age determination. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci.* 1990;224: 141–148.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
29. Heide-Jørgensen MP, Jensen J, Larsen AH, Teilmann J, Neurohr B. Age estimation of white whales (*Delphinapterus leucas*) from Greenland. *Meddelelser om Grønland Biosci.* 1994;39: 185–193.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
30. Brodie PF, Ramirez K, Haulena M. Growth and maturity of belugas (*Delphinapterus leucas*) in Cumberland Sound, Canada, and in captivity: Evidence for two growth layer groups (GLGs) per year in teeth. *J Cetacean Res Manag.* 2013;13: 1–18.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
31. Brodie PF. Mandibular layering in *Delphinapterus leucas* and age determination. *Nature.* 1969;221: 956–958. pmid:5765508
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
32. Khuzin R. Metodika opredeleniya vozrasta i materialy po razmnzheniyu belukhi (Age determination methodology and materials regarding reproduction of the beluga whale. *Bull Polar Res Inst Fish Oceanogr* (Translated by Can Transl Fish Aquat Sci No 5672. 1961;1: 58–60.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
33. Stewart REA, Campana SE, Jones CM, Stewart BE. Bomb radiocarbon dating calibrates beluga (*Delphinapterus leucas*) age estimates. *Can J Zool.* 2006;84: 1840–1852.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
34. Luque SP, Higdon JW, Ferguson SH. Dentine deposition rates in belugas (*Delphinapterus leucas*): an analysis of the evidence. *Aquat Mamm.* 2007;33: 241–245.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar

35. Matthews CJD, Ferguson SH. Validation of dentine deposition rates in beluga whales by interspecies cross dating of temporal $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ trends in teeth. *NAMMCO Sci Publ.* 2014;10: 1–19.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
36. Hohn AA, Lockyer CH. Growth layer patterns in teeth from two known-history beluga whales: Reconsideration of deposition rates. *Pap Submitt to IWC Sci Committee, Grenada, May. 1999; Document S: 1–12.*
37. Myrick ACJ, Yochem PK, Cornell LH. Toward calibrating dentinal layers in captive killer whales by use of tetracycline labels. *Rit Fiskid—J Mar Res Institute, Reykjavik.* 1988;11: 285–296. Available: <http://swfsc.noaa.gov/publications/CR/1988/8846.PDF>
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
38. Gurevich VS, Stewart BS, Cornell LH. The use of tetracycline in age determination of common dolphins, *Delphinus delphis*. In: Perrin WF, Myrick ACJ, editors. *Growth of Odontocetes and Sirenians: Problems in Age Determination: Proceedings of the International Conference on Determining Age of Odontocete Cetaceans [and Sirenians], La Jolla, California, September 5–19, 1978.* International Whaling Commission; 1980. pp. 165–169.
39. Best PB. Tetracycline marking and the rate of growth layer formation in the teeth of a dolphin (*Lagenorhynchus obscurus*). *S Afr J Sci.* 1976;72: 216–218.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
40. Myrick ACJ, Shallenberger EW, Kang I, MacKay DB. Calibration of dental layers in seven captive Hawaiian spinner dolphins, *Stenella longirostris*, based on tetracycline labeling. *Fish Bull.* 1984;82: 207–225. Available: <http://swfsc.noaa.gov/publications/CR/1984/8463.PDF>
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
41. Myrick ACJ, Cornell LH. Calibrating Dental Layers Bottlenose Dolphins from Tetracycline Labels and Tooth Extractions. In: Leatherwood S, Reeves RR, editors. *The Bottlenose dolphin.* San Diego: Academic Press, Inc.; 1990. pp. 587–608. <https://swfsc.noaa.gov/publications/CR/1990/9038.PDF>
42. Fraker MA, Sergeant DE, Hoek W. Bowhead and White Whales in the Southern Beaufort Sea. *Beaufort Sea Proj Tech Rep.* 1978;4: 1–110. Available: http://www.arlis.org/docs/vol2/hydropower/APA_DOC_no_3209.pdf
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
43. Pleskach K, Hoang W, Chu M, Halldorson T, Loseto L, Ferguson SH, et al. Use of mass spectrometry to measure aspartic acid racemization for ageing beluga whales. *Mar Mammal Sci.* 2016;32: 1370–1380.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
44. Marcoux M, Lesage V, Thiemann GW, Iverson SJ, Ferguson SH. Age estimation of belugas, *Delphinapterus leucas*, using fatty acid composition: A promising method. *Mar Mammal Sci.* 2015;31: 944–962.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
45. Kawasaki K, Tanaka S, Ishikawa T. On the daily incremental lines in human dentine. *Arch Oral Biol.* 1980;24: 939–943.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
46. Bromage TG. Enamel Incremental Periodicity in the Pig-Tailed Macaque: A Polychrome Fluorescent Labeling Study of Dental Hard Tissues. *Am J Phys Anthropol.* 1991;82: 205–214.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
47. Schour I, Hoffman MM. Studies in Tooth Development: I. the 16 Microns Calcification Rhythm in the Enamel and Dentin from Fish to Man. *J Dent Res.* 1939;18: 91–102.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
48. Schour I, Hoffman MM. Studies in Tooth Development: II. The rate of apposition of enamel and dentin in man and other mammals. *J Dent Res.* Schour and Hoffman; 1939;18: 161–175.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
49. Yilmaz S, Newman HN, Poole DFG. Diurnal periodicity of von Ebner growth lines in pig dentine. *Arch Oral Biol.* 1977;22: 511–513. pmid:272155
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
50. Erickson GM. Daily deposition of dentine in juvenile *Alligator* and assessment of tooth replacement rates using incremental line counts. *J Morphol.* 1996;228: 189–194.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
51. Ohtsuka-Isoya M, Hayashi H, Shinoda H. Effect of suprachiasmatic nucleus lesion on circadian dentin increment in rats. *Am J Physiol Integr Comp Physiol.* 2001;280: R1364–R1370.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar

52. Rosenberg GD, Simmons DJ. Rhythmic Dentinogenesis in the Rabbit Incisor: Circadian, Ultradian, and Infradian Periods. *Calcif Tissue Int.* 1980;32: 29–44. pmid:6775778
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
53. Klevezal GA, Pucek M, Sukhovskaja LI. Incisor growth in voles. *Acta Therologica.* 1990;35: 331–344. Available: http://rcin.org.pl/Content/11730/B1002_2613_Cz-40-2_Acta-T35-nr34-331-344_o.pdf
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
54. Dean MC, Scandrett AE. The relation between long-period incremental markings in dentine and daily cross-striations in enamel in human teeth. *Arch Oral Biol.* 1996;41: 233–241. pmid:8735009
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
55. Keil A, von Nolting H. On the odontology of certain marine Odontocet and their dentine and enamel structures. *Can Transl Fish Aquat Sci.* 1969; <http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/Library/105947.pdf>
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
56. Myrick ACJ. Examination of layered tissues of odontocetes for age determination using polarized light microscopy. In: Perrin WF, Myrick ACJ, editors. *Growth of Odontocetes and Sirenians: Problems in Age Determination: Proceedings of the International Conference on Determining Age of Odontocete Cetaceans [and Sirenians]*, La Jolla, California, September 5–19, 1978. International Whaling Commission; 1980. pp. 105–112.
57. Hauser DDW, Laird KL, Suydam RS, Richard PR. Population-specific home ranges and migration timing of Pacific Arctic beluga whales (*Delphinapterus leucas*). *Polar Biol.* 2014;37: 1171–1183.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
58. O'Corry-Crowe GM, Suydam RS, Rosenberg A, Frost KJ, Dizon AE. Phylogeography, population structure and dispersal patterns of the beluga whale *Delphinapterus leucas* in the western Nearctic revealed by mitochondrial DNA. *Mol Ecol.* 1997;6: 955–970.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
59. Suydam RS. Age, growth, reproduction, and movements of beluga whales (*Delphinapterus leucas*) from the eastern Chukchi Sea. University of Washington. 2009.
60. Stewart BE. A technical report on methods for tooth preparation and age estimates of beluga (*Delphinapterus leucas*). *Can Tech Reports Fish Aquat Sci.* 2012;3020: xi–85.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
61. Kristensen HK. An Improved Method of Decalcification. *Stain Technol.* 1948;23: 151–154. pmid:18867628
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
62. Mayer P. Notiz über Hämatein und Hämalan. *Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Mikroskopie und für.* 1903;20: 409–411.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
63. Drury RAB, Wallington EA. Carleton's Histological technique. 5th ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 1980.
64. Myrick ACJ, Hohn AA, Sloan PA, Makoto K, Stanley DD. Estimating age of spotted and spinner dolphins (*Stenella attenuata* and *Stenella longirostris*) from teeth. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS SWFC-30. 1983.
65. Evans K, Kemper C, Mckenzie J, McIntosh R. Age determination of marine mammals using tooth structure (Workshop held 22–25 August 2007). Adelaide: South Australian Museum; 2011.
66. Dean MC. Comparative observations on the spacing of short-period (von Ebner's) lines in dentine. *Arch Oral Biol.* 1998;43: 1009–1021. pmid:9877332
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
67. Kodaka T, Higashi S. Scanning electron microscopy of spherical and linear laminate structures in human dentin etched with EDTA after treatment with sodium hypochlorite. *Japanese J Oral Biol.* 1995;37: 80–85.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
68. Mirra SS, Hart MN, Terry RD. Making the Diagnosis of Alzheimer's Disease—A Primer for Practicing Pathologists. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* 1993;117: 132–144. pmid:8427562
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
69. Tiford M. Unraveling the Silver Stain Maze. *J Histotechnol.* 1984;7: 171–178.
View Article • PubMed/NCBI • Google Scholar
70. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Meth.* Nature Publishing Group, a division of Macmillan Publishers Limited. All Rights Reserved.; 2012;9: 676–682. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019>

[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)

71. Preibisch S, Saalfeld S, Tomancak P. Globally optimal stitching of tiled 3D microscopic image acquisitions. *Bioinformatics*. 2009;25: 1463–1465. pmid:19346324
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)
72. Aguet F, Van De Ville D, Unser M. Model-Based 2.5-D Deconvolution for Extended Depth of Field in Brightfield Microscopy. *IEEE Trans Image Process*. 2008;17: 1144–1153. pmid:18586622
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)
73. Beynon AD, Dean MC, Leakey MG, Reid DJ, Walker A. Comparative dental development and microstructure of *Proconsul* teeth from Rusinga Island, Kenya. *J Hum Evol*. 1998;35: 163–209. pmid:9719994
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)
74. Dean MC. Daily Rates of Dentine Formation in Macaque Tooth Roots. *Int J Osteoarchaeology*. 1993;3: 199–206.
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)
75. Dean MC, Kelley J. Comparative dental development in *Hispanopithecus laietanus* and *Pan troglodytes*. *J Hum Evol*. 2012;62: 174–178. pmid:22082569
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)
76. Whittaker DK, Kneale MJ. The dentine-predentine interface in human teeth. A scanning electron microscope study. *Br Dent J*. 1979;146: 43–46. pmid:281950
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)
77. Dean MC. How the microstructure of dentine can contribute to reconstructing developing dentitions and the lives of hominoids and hominins. *Comptes Rendus Palevol*. 2016;
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)
78. Smith TM. Incremental dental development: Methods and applications in hominoid evolutionary studies. *J Hum Evol*. 2008;54: 205–224. pmid:18045649
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)
79. Weiner S, Veis A, Beniash E, Arad T, Dillon JW, Sabsay B, et al. Peritubular dentin formation: crystal organization and the macromolecular constituents in human teeth. *J Struct Biol*. 1999;126: 27–41. pmid:10329486
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)
80. Jones SJ, Boyde A. Ultrastructure of dentine and dentinogenesis. In: Linde A, editor. *Dentine and Dentinogenesis*. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 1984. pp. 81–134.
81. Boyde A, Jones SJ. Backscattered electron imaging of dental tissues. *Anat Embryol (Berl)*. 1983;168: 211–26. Available: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6660563>
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)
82. Shellis RP. Structural organization of calcospherites in normal and rachitic human dentine. *Arch Oral Biol*. 1983;28: 85–95. pmid:6575740
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)
83. Katagir M. The mineralization patterns of human secondary dentins. *Odontology*. 1968;56: 9–39.
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)
84. Boyde A. Histological studies of dental tissues of Odontocetes. In: Perrin WF, Myrick ACJ, editors. *Growth of Odontocetes and Sirenians: Problems in Age Determination: Proceedings of the International Conference on Determining Age of Odontocete Cetaceans [and Sirenians]*, La Jolla, California, September 5–19, 1978. International Whaling Commission; 1980. pp. 65–87.
85. Carvalho RM, Yoshiyama M, Pashley EL, Pashley DH. In vitro study on the dimensional changes of human dentine after demineralization. *Arch Oral Biol*. 1996;41: 369–377. pmid:8771328
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)
86. Beynon AD, Dean MC, Reid DJ. Histological study on the chronology of the developing dentition in gorilla and orangutan. *Am J Phys Anthropol*. 1991;86: 189–203.
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)
87. Dean MC, Cole TJ. Human Life History Evolution Explains Dissociation between the Timing of Tooth Eruption and Peak Rates of Root Growth. *PLoS One*. 2013;8. pmid:23342167
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)

88. Dean MC, Leakey MG. Enamel and dentine development and the life history profile of *Victoriapithecus macinnesi* from Maboko Island, Kenya. *Ann Anat.* 2004;186: 405–412. pmid:15646271
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)
89. Erickson GM, Zelenitsky DK, Kay DJ, Norell MA. Dinosaur incubation periods directly determined from growth-line counts in embryonic teeth show reptilian-grade development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2017;114: 540–545. pmid:28049837
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)
90. Erickson GM. Incremental lines of von Ebner in dinosaurs and the assessment of tooth replacement rates using growth line counts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1996;93: 14623–14627. pmid:8962103
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)
91. Kear BP, Larsson D, Lindgren J, Kundrát M. Exceptionally prolonged tooth formation in elasmosaurid plesiosaurians. *PLoS One.* 2017;12. pmid:28241059
[View Article](#) • [PubMed/NCBI](#) • [Google Scholar](#)
92. Lockyer CH, Hohn AA, Hobbs RC, Stewart REA. Report of the workshop on age estimation in beluga: Beaufort, North Carolina, US 5–9 December 2011. *NAMMCO Sci Publ.* 2016;10: 61. 10.7557/3.3731