Decadal shifts in autumn migration timing by Pacific Arctic beluga whales are related to delayed annual sea ice formation
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Abstract

Migrations are often influenced by seasonal environmental gradients that are increasingly being altered by climate change. The consequences of rapid changes in Arctic sea ice have the potential to affect migrations of a number of marine species whose timing is temporally matched to seasonal sea ice cover. This topic has not been investigated for Pacific Arctic beluga whales (Delphinapterus leucas) that follow matrilineally maintained autumn migrations in the waters around Alaska and Russia. For the sympatric Eastern Chukchi Sea (‘Chukchi’) and Eastern Beaufort Sea (‘Beaufort’) beluga populations, we examined changes in autumn migration timing as related to delayed regional sea ice freeze-up since the 1990s, using two independent data sources (satellite telemetry data and passive acoustics) for both populations. We compared dates of migration between ‘early’ (1993–2002) and ‘late’ (2004–2012) tagging periods. During the late tagging period, Chukchi belugas had significantly delayed migrations (by 2 to >4 weeks, depending on location) from the Beaufort and Chukchi seas. Spatial analyses also revealed that departure from Beaufort Sea foraging regions by Chukchi whales was postponed in the late period. Chukchi beluga autumn migration timing occurred significantly later as regional sea ice freeze-up timing became later in the Beaufort, Chukchi, and Bering seas. In contrast, Beaufort belugas did not shift migration timing between periods, nor was migration timing related to freeze-up timing, other than for southward migration at the Bering Strait. Passive acoustic data from 2008 to 2014 provided independent and supplementary support for delayed migration from the Beaufort Sea (4 day yr−1) by Chukchi belugas. Here, we report the first phenological study examining beluga whale migrations within the context of their rapidly transforming Pacific Arctic ecosystem, suggesting flexible responses that may enable their persistence yet also complicate predictions of how belugas may fare in the future.
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Introduction

The evolution and maintenance of migratory behavior often represents a response to seasonal environmental gradients, and thus, climate change is expected to affect the frequency and magnitude of migratory behaviors (Cresswell et al., 2011). However, migratory species are somewhat of a paradox when assessing potential vulnerability to climate change (Robinson et al., 2009). On the one hand, migratory species are mobile, often characterized by populations exhibiting multiple migration strategies, and could be expected to readily adjust to changes in environmental suitability. Alternatively, migrations could be innate behaviors or socially maintained (Colbeck et al., 2013). Therefore, migratory species may be adapted to and dependent on predictable habitat of functional importance (e.g., for breeding, foraging, or molting) during the migration cycle, which may be differentially affected by climate change. Several life history characteristics of migratory individuals could be affected by climate-related physical changes such as timing, distance, and direction/route of migration as well as the propensity to migrate (Shuter et al., 2011; Bailleul et al., 2012b; Seebacher & Post, 2015).

Arctic marine ecosystems are experiencing some of the most prominent signals of global climate change, demonstrated as unprecedented rates of seasonal ice
loss occurring over broad spatial scales (Serreze & Stroeve, 2015). Sea ice extent, volume, and duration of cover have declined on a pan-Arctic scale, regional variability notwithstanding (Stroeve et al., 2012; Barnhart et al., 2016). There have been shifts in lower-level productivity, associated with changing physical conditions in Arctic marine ecosystems (e.g., Grebmeier, 2012), yet limited research has been conducted to consider the implications of physical changes at the highest trophic levels (Wassmann et al., 2011). In particular, the duration of the open-water season has significantly increased in nearly all Arctic regions, and the timing of annual ice retreat and advance is thought to particularly affect Arctic marine mammals (Laidre et al., 2015). Arctic marine mammals are long-lived with low reproductive rates and have life histories, reproduction, and foraging strategies matched temporally to sea ice conditions, which can make them particularly susceptible to broad-scale, sudden, and unidirectional changes (Laidre et al., 2008; Kovacs et al., 2011). Impacts of sea ice loss are more direct for species dependent on ice as a platform for specialized feeding, reproduction, or resting (e.g., polar bears Ursus maritimus, Cherry et al., 2013; Rode et al., 2015). It is less clear what changing environments mean for Arctic cetaceans indirectly associated with sea ice habitat via shifts in prey productivity and community structure that result from changing sea ice conditions (Moore & Huntington, 2008). Changes in distribution and timing of migration are the most anticipated types of responses for these ice-associated cetaceans whose movements are temporally coordinated to annual sea ice cycles (Gilg et al., 2012).

The rate and intensity of sea ice changes have been particularly pronounced since the 1990s in the Pacific Arctic (Maslanik et al., 2011; Frey et al., 2015; Wood et al., 2015), which could affect two migratory populations of beluga whales (Delphinapterus leucas), the Eastern Chukchi Sea (‘Chukchi’) and Eastern Beaufort Sea (‘Beaufort’) populations. Chukchi and Beaufort belugas migrate thousands of kilometers during the open-water season from the sub-Arctic northern Bering Sea to the seasonally productive high Arctic Chukchi and Beaufort seas (Richard et al., 2001; Suydam et al., 2001), which have each experienced significant increases in the average open-water season over the last three decades (~13 and 15 days per decade, respectively, Laidre et al., 2015). Traditional knowledge, aerial surveys, telemetry data, and biological sampling indicate that each genetically distinct population is philopatric to discrete summering areas (Frost & Lowry, 1990; O’Corry-Crowe et al., 1997; Harwood & Smith, 2002; Huntington et al., 2004; Hauser et al., 2014), where the annual retreat and advance of dense pack ice presumably affects the ability of Chukchi and Beaufort belugas to safely access summer foraging habitat in the Chukchi and Beaufort seas (Moore, 2000; Garland et al., 2015a; Hauser et al., 2015).

Beluga whales exhibit predictable migration behaviors that have been passed down matrilineally (Colbeck et al., 2013). It is unknown whether Pacific Arctic belugas will adjust migrations as sea ice shifts, or to what extent beluga migrations will respond to environmental changes as the behaviors are learned from their mothers. We use two independent types of data (telemetry and passive acoustics) to examine potential changes in Chukchi and Beaufort beluga autumn migration timing associated with changes in the timing of seasonal ice cover. Autumn sea ice advance (or ‘freeze-up’) in the Chukchi and Beaufort seas has occurred an average of at least 1 week later each decade over 1979 to 2013 (Fig. S1; Laidre et al., 2015). Assuming that freeze-up timing, in areas where whales are migrating to or from, affects access to Chukchi and Beaufort sea foraging regions, we predict that west and southward autumn migration is related to the timing of sea ice freeze-up. If regional sea ice timing is an important factor determining timing of beluga migration, we hypothesize that migration timing would shift later as freeze-up occurs later in more recent years.

Materials and methods

Study area and migratory ‘passage boundaries’

Chukchi and Beaufort beluga whales make extensive migrations between winter areas in the northern Bering Sea and summer foraging regions in the Pacific Arctic (Hauser et al., 2015). Belugas pass several key latitudes and longitudes during autumn that are relevant to the management and biology of each population, referred to here as ‘passage boundaries’, on return trips to the Bering Sea (Fig. 1). First, Beaufort belugas commence westward autumn migration in September, traversing and exiting the Beaufort Sea approximately 1 month earlier than Chukchi whales (Richard et al., 2001; Hauser et al., 2014). We defined initiation of migration for Beaufort belugas when they cross 141° W, the northward extension of the United States–Canada border that also closely matches the western edge of the Beaufort beluga July–August summer home range (Hauser et al., 2014). Beaufort whales remain in the Chukchi Sea before both populations typically commence southward migration around November (Hauser et al., 2014). We considered three additional passage boundaries relevant to both beluga populations that correspond to exits from the Beaufort and Chukchi seas (157° W and 65.9° N, respectively) and final autumn movement past 70° N where whales must turn south in the Chukchi Sea (Fig. 1, Table 1). Passage boundaries for exit from the Beaufort and Chukchi seas correspond to regional sea ice boundaries (see ‘Comparisons with regional sea ice timing’). Exit from the Chukchi Sea represents passage into the Bering Strait.
Telemetry data

We used location data from satellite-linked data recorders attached to Chukchi and Beaufort beluga whales near Point Lay, Alaska and the Mackenzie River Estuary, Canada, respectively (Table S1; Orr et al., 2001; Richard et al., 2001; Suydam, 2009; Suydam et al., 2001). Biopsies taken in June and July of tagged whales at these sites correspond to samples from subsistence-harvested belugas collected since ~1990, indicating that Chukchi and Beaufort belugas are genetically distinct (O’Corry-Crowe et al., 1997). We used data from Chukchi belugas from 1998 to 2012, including one male (‘B07-1’) in 2007 that provided locations through the 2008 autumn migration. We used data from Beaufort whales tagged between 1993 and 2005. Chukchi tags transmitted continuously, but Beaufort...
tags were programmed to transmit either continuously or according to 1- to 6-days duty cycles (Richard et al., 2001). We used a continuous correlated random walk model to standardize the frequency of locations by estimating daily geographic locations for each whale, which were based on observed satellite locations and their associated spatial error, using the ‘crawl’ package in R (Johnson et al., 2008).

We compared telemetry data for each population among clustered years when tagging occurred to consider temporal changes in distribution and migration timing. These periods generally correspond to differing sea ice conditions experienced in the 1990s (‘early tagging period’: 1993–2002) and 2000s (‘late tagging period’: 2004–2012; Fig. S1). We note that the early tagging period of the Beaufort population (1993–1997) precedes the early tagging period of the Chukchi population (1998–2002), and the late tagging period of the Beaufort population (2004–2005) precedes the late tagging period of the Chukchi population (2007–2012; Table S1). Therefore, in comparing autumn migration timing vs. sea ice freeze-up dates between the two populations, some assurance is needed that the results are not confounded by the different observation periods and indeed distinct sea ice conditions were experienced between periods. In a recent analysis, Close et al. (2015) used satellite passive microwave sea ice concentration data (1979–2013) to identify areas of the Arctic Ocean where the seasonal mean sea ice concentration (SIC) exhibits ‘breakpoint’ behavior, such as stable SIC before a certain year and then declining SIC after that year. Most of the Beaufort and Chukchi seas exhibit such breakpoint behavior in summer (July–September) and autumn (October–December), with the transition year in the autumn SIC time series identified as 2001 (±3 years). This definitively puts the late tagging periods of both beluga populations in the post-transition regime of declining sea ice. There are several other physical and biological signals of a new Pacific Arctic ecosystem state commencing around 2004 besides (and in association with) ice loss (Moore & Stabeno, 2015; Wood et al., 2015), lending weight to the idea that a transition occurred shortly before that time. Therefore, we suggest that our results are not an artifact of the sampling scheme and that it is possible to compare autumn migration timing between the two populations, because both early sampling periods are in the pre-transition sea ice regime and both late sampling periods are in the post-transition regime.

We estimated monthly ranges and concentration areas to examine the autumn spatial distribution of each population between periods. For each period, we estimated the monthly utilization distribution of each population during September–November using bivariate normal kernel density estimation (Worton, 1989). We used the ‘kde’ tool in the Geospatial Modeling Environment (spatialecology.com/gme), which is based on the ‘ks’ package in R (Duong, 2007). We selected bandwidths using least-squares cross-validation and defined monthly ranges and concentration areas as the 90% and 50% probability contours of the estimated utilization distribution, respectively (Börger et al., 2006). We also estimated monthly geographic mean centers (Haining, 2003) for each period, measuring the Euclidean distance between monthly mean centers to evaluate geographic displacement of tagged whales in the early versus late period.

We identified the day of the year when tagged whales transited each passage boundary without return. The sample size of whales crossing each passage boundary decreased the further west and south each boundary occurred in the autumn migration path as tags began to fail (i.e., batteries failed, tags detached, antennae broke; Table 1). We excluded a few cases when passage dates were also the date of last transmission, so we could not definitively determine final passage of the whale. We evaluated differences in migration timing between early and late periods for each population and at each passage boundary using Kruskal–Wallis rank sum tests.

### Passive acoustic data

We deployed hydrophone packages (Multi-electronique Aural M2) on moorings in the western Beaufort Sea (71.4° N, 152° W) and just north of Bering Strait (66.3° N, 168.95° W) to monitor vocalizing marine mammals and ambient noise (Fig. 1). The Beaufort Sea instrument recorded year-round from September 2008 through July 2013 on a 30% duty cycle (the first 9 min every half hour). The Bering Strait instrument recorded autumn data (September through December) from 2009 through 2014 on a 25% duty cycle, such that data were recorded for the first 15 min of every hour. We sampled acoustic data at 8192 Hz for an effective monitored bandwidth of 10–4096 Hz, which is sufficient to record the whistles and pulsed signals of beluga whales, but not higher frequency echolocation signals. We visually examined spectrograms of each data file for the presence of beluga whale calls, and the number of hours per day with calls was determined to examine seasonal occurrence (Sjare & Smith, 1986). The detection range for beluga whale calls will vary with ambient noise levels (Miller, 2006) but is estimated to range from 5 to 15 km based on measurements of belugas from Saguenay Fjord, Canada, and comparative distances for other odontocetes that produce similar sounds (Miller, 2006; Gervaise et al., 2012).

Beluga migration at the Beaufort Sea and Bering Strait mooring locations occurred in pulses over the duration of the open-water and autumn seasons, so we considered the distribution of dates over which beluga vocalizations were detected (see Fig. S2). It is not currently possible to determine population identity from vocalizations (but see Garland et al., 2015b), but we could assume beluga vocalizations observed after September at the Beaufort Sea location were characteristic of Chukchi belugas based on previous studies (Richard et al., 2001; Suydam et al., 2001; Hauser et al., 2014; Garland et al., 2015a; Stafford et al., in press). We focused on the far right tail of the cumulative distribution of calling days to determine final migration date of Chukchi belugas out of the Beaufort Sea. Specifically, we identified the passage date for Chukchi belugas at the Beaufort Sea mooring as the 95% quantile of the cumulative distribution of days when vocalizations occurred after September 30 each year (Fig. S2). We assumed this passage date corresponds to the annual departure of Chukchi belugas from the Beaufort Sea.

It was not possible to differentiate population identity at the Bering Strait hydrophone where both populations overlap spatially in November (Hauser et al., 2014), moving south from early November to mid-December (Citta et al., 2016).
Belugas were detected at the Bering Strait hydrophone during autumn from early November to late December (Fig. S2). We examined the median date of days with beluga vocalizations during autumn each year, 2009–2014, to consider beluga migration timing at the Bering Strait hydrophone.

Comparisons with regional sea ice timing

The day of sea ice advance (‘freeze-up’) each autumn, 1979–2013, has occurred significantly later in the Beaufort and Chukchi seas (7.8 and 7.0 days per decade, respectively; Laidre et al., 2015), although there were not significant trends in the Bering Sea (Fig. S1). We examined the relationship between autumn migration timing (i.e., passage dates from both telemetry and acoustic data) and regional sea ice timing using the day of freeze-up, determined in Laidre et al. (2015), for each year in the Bering, Chukchi, and Beaufort sea regions (Fig. 1). Briefly, the daily sea ice area for each year (1979–2014) and region was estimated from SSM/I satellite-derived sea ice products (Cavalieri et al., 1996, updated yearly). We defined the day of sea ice advance in each region as the day each autumn when the area of sea ice first exceeded half the area of the region. We used least-squares regression to compare the day of migration each year to the day of sea ice freeze-up in the Bering, Chukchi, and Beaufort seas.

Results

Migration phenology of tagged belugas

A total of 65 Chukchi and Beaufort belugas were tagged between 1993 and 2012, but the number of tagged belugas whose tags transmitted through November or that crossed each passage boundary was variable due to the timing of tag failure (Tables 1 and S1). The autumn distribution of monthly ranges, concentration areas, and mean centers was distinct for Chukchi belugas in the early compared with the late period (Fig. 2). Chukchi belugas in September, October, and November were distributed farther north and east in the late period than in the early period. In October, Chukchi belugas tagged in the late period remained near the Beaufort Sea continental slope compared with the October range of whales tagged in the early period that extended west- and southward in the Chukchi Sea. The displacement distance (based on Euclidean distance) between early and late period mean center locations was greatest for Chukchi belugas in October (549 km). The November range of Chukchi belugas tagged in the late period spanned from the Bering Strait to Beaufort Sea compared with the more compacted range focused in the Bering Strait for whales tagged in the early period; straight-line distance between mean centers was 454 km, although displacement including travel around land masses would be much greater. There was less variation in the distribution of autumn monthly ranges, concentration areas, and mean centers for Beaufort belugas than Chukchi belugas (Fig. 2). The greatest difference in spatial distribution of Beaufort belugas occurred in September when whales tagged in the late period had a larger range that was concentrated farther west (mean centers separated by 280 km) than those in the early period. There was relatively limited separation in Beaufort beluga ranges, concentration areas, and mean centers (≤130 km) between periods during October and November.

Chukchi beluga migration timing occurred later in the autumn during 2007–2012 compared with the early period (i.e., 1998–2002) for all passage boundaries (Table 1). It was also positively correlated with the timing of sea ice advance (Table S2, Fig. 3). Specifically, the day of exit from the Beaufort Sea (157° W), commencement of southward migration (70° N), and passage into the Bering Strait (65.9° N) were consistently and significantly delayed in the late tagging period (median dates 14–33 days later) than the early tagging period (Table 1). For each of these passage boundaries, passage date was positively related to the day of sea ice freeze-up in the Beaufort, Chukchi, and Bering seas. Correlations between passage date and timing of regional freeze-up were best explained by the timing of freeze-up in the region whales were departing from rather than timing in regions whales were oriented toward.

In contrast, there were no changes in migration timing or correlations with regional freeze-up timing for Beaufort belugas between early (i.e., 1993–1997) and late (i.e., 2004–2005) tagging periods, other than correlation with regional freeze-up upon entrance into the Bering Strait (65.9° N; Tables 1 and S2, Fig. S3). There were no locations of Beaufort belugas south of Bering Strait in the early period to compare with those in the late period. However, the date of migration by late period whales at Bering Strait was significantly correlated with freeze-up timing in each sea ice region. Beaufort belugas also passed each location ahead of Chukchi belugas as expected from previous analyses (Hauser et al., 2014), other than at Bering Strait. At Bering Strait, Beaufort belugas’ median migration dates were ~2 weeks later than those of Chukchi belugas in the late period (Table 1).

Migration phenology of acoustically detected belugas

The 95% quantile date of beluga autumn passage, assumed to represent final departure from the Beaufort Sea by Chukchi belugas, occurred 4.1 days later each year (2008–2013) at the Beaufort Sea hydrophone, although the relationship was not statistically significant ($r^2 = 0.44$, $P = 0.15$; Fig. 4). The date of Chukchi
beluga autumn passage was positively related to the date of Beaufort Sea freeze-up ($r^2 = 0.60$, $P = 0.07$; Fig. 4). Autumn passage date at the Beaufort Sea hydrophone was not related to the date of freeze-up in the Chukchi or Bering seas ($r^2 = 0.02$, $P = 0.77$ and $r^2 = 0.01$, $P = 0.85$, respectively).

The median date of beluga vocalizations at the Bering Strait hydrophone occurred 1.1 day later each year, from 2009 to 2014 ($r^2 = 0.10$, $P = 0.54$; Fig. 4). There were no strong relationships of median date with freeze-up date in the Chukchi or Beaufort seas ($r^2 = 0.02$, $P = 0.77$ and $r^2 = 0.01$, $P = 0.85$, respectively) although the strongest positive correlation occurred with Bering Sea freeze-up timing ($r^2 = 0.44$, $P = 0.15$; Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

Arctic ecosystems are experiencing some of the most profound and rapid changes on Earth, yet limited baselines have hindered assessments of impacts to regional marine mammal populations (Laidre et al., 2015). Few studies have examined ice-related responses of Arctic cetaceans. Those that have primarily focused on regional shifts in distribution or changes in body condition of well-sampled populations (e.g., Heide-Jøgensen et al., 2010, 2012; George et al., 2015). In contrast, establishing a relationship of sea ice loss has been more forthcoming for ice-obligate Arctic pinnipeds and polar bears that require predictable ice cycles to match the...
timing of reproduction, foraging, and other critical behaviors (e.g., Jay et al., 2012; Cherry et al., 2013; Rode et al., 2014; Hamilton et al., 2015).

We used two independent data sources to show consistent trends toward delayed autumn departure for Chukchi belugas from the Beaufort and Chukchi seas. Our acoustic data provided an Eulerian perspective over a shorter time frame that overlapped and extended the ‘late’ period telemetry data. Our telemetry data offered higher resolution Lagrangian tracks of individuals as they moved across different passage boundaries. There were assumptions associated with each dataset. Passive acoustics relies on belugas vocalizing in close proximity to hydrophones (~5–15 km), and we could not ascertain population identity from vocalizations. However, the Beaufort Sea hydrophone was placed near a Chukchi beluga summer–fall core area (Hauser et al., 2014), and previous work supports our assumption that vocalizations after September would specifically detect Chukchi belugas (Garland et al., 2015a; Richard et al., 2001; Stafford et al., in press, Suydam et al., 2001). Both populations transit near the Bering Strait hydrophone in autumn (Hauser et al., 2014; Citta et al., 2016), in contrast, so we expect both populations were acoustically detected and examined median passage dates accordingly. There were also relatively few years of data to detect changes in migration timing from acoustic data, and all of those data fell within the late period for tagged whales.

For telemetry data, we assumed our limited sample sizes of tagged whales were representative of entire populations and similar between time periods. Recent evidence shows that Chukchi males migrate from the Beaufort Sea later (~1 week) than females (Hauser et al.,...
2014), but we did not examine sex-based differences in migration timing due to small sample size. Nearly equal proportions of Chukchi males and females made up our late period sample, and more males were tagged than females in the early period (Table S1). Thus, if anything, we would expect autumn migration timing of the Chukchi population to have been biased later in the early period due to the greater proportion of males in the early period sample. Migration timing of males and females in the Beaufort population is not significantly different (Hauser et al., 2014), so we do not expect sex-based migration timing would have changed conclusions about changes in Beaufort beluga migration timing. Ultimately our inferential power comes from the combination of these independent data sources despite the specific limitations of each, and we found consistent results across datasets in beluga migration phenology as it relates to regional changes in sea ice freeze-up.

Our analyses of spatial distribution and displacement, passage boundaries, and acoustics all suggest that Chukchi belugas are now remaining in the Pacific Arctic regions for longer in the autumn. Some recent assessments suggest sea ice decline may actually be positive for seasonal migrants as well as some upper trophic-level marine predators due to ice-related changes in biophysical forcing (Bhatt et al., 2014; Moore & Stabeno, 2015; Moore, 2016). Longer ice-free seasons in combination with increased wind-driven mixing have increased the heat content in upper ocean layers of the Pacific Arctic, likely contributing to enhanced primary and secondary production as well as zooplankton advection (Pickart et al., 2009; Arrigo & Van Dijken, 2015; Wood et al., 2015). Accordingly, body condition of bowhead whales (Balaena mysticetus) in the Beaufort Sea improved during 1989–2011 and correlated with sea ice declines (George et al., 2015), fitting predictions for more productive foraging during the recent reduced ice regime (Moore, 2016). Limited time series are available to demonstrate that an increased and extended duration of lower-level productivity is also generating improved foraging opportunities for belugas. One primary prey item, Arctic cod (Boreogadus saida), is the dominant and most widespread fish species in recent benthic and pelagic surveys across the Pacific Arctic, in addition to other beluga prey species that appear to be expanding their range into the region (Logerwell et al., 2015). Barrow Canyon and the Beaufort Sea slope are considered summer and autumn ‘hotpots’ for Chukchi
belugas where oceanographic conditions promote pelagic aggregations of fish, thereby improving foraging opportunities for belugas (e.g., Moore et al., 2000; Stafford et al., 2013; Hauser et al., 2015). Given regional trends toward improved lower-level productivity and prolonged open-water seasons, we expect that foraging opportunities for Chukchi belugas were more extensive and productive in the late than early tagging period.

Our telemetry and acoustic data consistently revealed that autumn migration timing for Chukchi belugas was associated with the onset of freeze-up, particularly in the regions that whales were departing. These results suggest that access to Beaufort and Chukchi sea foraging areas is limited by the annual advance of fast ice, similar to beluga distribution elsewhere (e.g., Heide-Jørgensen et al., 2010). The formation of autumn fast ice in the Chukchi and Beaufort seas is dynamic and rapid, but has been particularly delayed since 2000 due to intensified thermal and wind-driven processes (Frey et al., 2015). Therefore, our results support a conclusion that Chukchi beluga presence north of Bering Strait is temporally constrained by the advance of fast ice and that belugas can respond to variations in the timing of freeze-up.

We found limited evidence that Beaufort beluga westward migration from the Beaufort Sea was similarly influenced by sea ice advance, so other factors may cue migration into the Chukchi Sea for Beaufort belugas. We presume this part of the migration is motivated by predictable foraging opportunities. Maximum and modal depths of diving Beaufort belugas typically target the seafloor in the Chukchi Sea (Hauser et al., 2015), which is characterized by productive benthic invertebrates and Arctic cod prey (Norcross et al., 2013; Grebmeier et al., 2015), except near Herald Canyon where diving targeted mid-water depths and oceanographic properties may establish conditions favorable for pelagic foraging (Pickart et al., 2010; Spall et al., 2014). Beaufort belugas remain north of 70° N in the Herald Canyon region during October before shifting south to the southwestern and southcentral Chukchi Sea in November where the two populations overlap (Hauser et al., 2014). Furthermore, Beaufort belugas may not detect as strong of a sea ice signal in the western portion of the Chukchi Sea relative to the eastern portion used by Chukchi belugas, which is more strongly influenced by Bering Strait advection. While foraging is likely related to Beaufort beluga westward migration from the Beaufort into the Chukchi Sea, additional research is needed to identify factors influencing southward migration. Supplementary data are required to identify other potential factors that may drive migration such as prey availability, sea surface temperature (Bailleul et al., 2012a), other climate indicators of ecosystem productivity related to foraging (Loseto et al., 2015), or simply a more static predictor of heritable migration timing such as day length.

Bering Strait is a constriction point in the fall migration of Pacific Arctic belugas, yet we had the least data for this passage boundary. Bering Strait was the only passage boundary where migration timing of both populations was related to regional freeze-up timing, evidenced by our telemetry data and weakly supported by acoustics. We had a limited sample of tagged Beaufort belugas, but migration south of Bering Strait was later for Beaufort than Chukchi belugas (~2 weeks). Thus, our telemetry results suggested that the autumn advance of sea ice influences timing of exit from the southern Chukchi Sea for both populations. Passive acoustics further supported a conclusion that belugas delayed migration through Bering Strait over time, which was related to the timing of ice formation in the northern Bering Sea, albeit weakly and with unknown population identification. Belugas are typically recorded at the Bering Strait hydrophone in November and early December, and often in pulses separated by multiple days (K. Stafford unpublished data; see Fig. S2). It is unclear whether individuals may linger near the hydrophone location, or whether pulses represent distinct populations. Unlike in the Chukchi Sea, freeze-up timing in the northern Bering Sea has not changed (Laidre et al. 2015b). As a result, whales would need to transit the Bering Strait chokepoint before they may necessarily need to exit the Chukchi Sea, explaining the stronger association with Bering Sea freeze-up timing over the Chukchi Sea.

Overall, we have the greatest uncertainty in whether there have been phenological changes in migration timing at the Bering Strait, due largely to small sample sizes at this location from both telemetry and acoustic datasets.

Although sea ice freeze-up has been progressively delayed over the satellite record (i.e., since 1979), the trends have been particularly accelerated over the past decade (Frey et al., 2015). Our ‘late’ period tagging for Beaufort belugas occurred in 2004–2005, before the most dramatic sea ice changes occurred (e.g., 2007 and 2012), but after a transition in Beaufort and Chukchi sea ice regimes commencing around 2002 (Fig. S1; Close et al., 2015; Moore & Stabeno, 2015). The limited relationships found between Beaufort beluga migration timing and regional sea ice freeze-up trends may be due to not having data from tagged whales during the years with largest contrasts in sea ice regimes. Overall the ability to detect climate-related responses is generally limited by our relatively short time series for both populations. Long-term studies and larger sample sizes have greater capacity to detect and attribute biological responses to
changing conditions (Brown et al., 2011; O’Connor et al., 2015), although our datasets were typical of marine biological response studies in many disciplines (Hauser et al., 2016). In any case, continued monitoring of both populations will help elucidate phenological responses by Pacific Arctic beluga populations.

More data are needed to further understand population-specific migration timing, but an important result of our study was that there were non-uniform phenological responses between sympatric beluga whale populations to shifts in regional sea ice freeze-up timing. Understanding variability among populations is particularly relevant to management and planning for the effects of climate change at regional scales (Laidre et al., 2015). Variable responses to climate signals among populations may result from multiple factors such as distinct patterns of utilization, population productivity, life history strategies, or trophic interactions (Post et al., 2009; Gilg et al., 2012), which complicate predictions of species success or failure in the context of transforming ecosystems (Moritz & Agudo, 2013; Post et al., 2013; Rode et al., 2014). Distinct responses by beluga populations to shifting sea ice may reflect plasticity in the face of dynamic Arctic conditions over the last several million years. Indeed, not all neighboring beluga populations within Hudson Bay, Canada, seasonally migrate, possibly because recent sea ice reductions have provided suitable conditions for some to remain over the winter (Bailleul et al., 2012b). Belugas are long-lived (e.g., oldest Beaufort whales observed >60 years; Harwood et al., 2014) with predictable matrilineally derived migrations (Colbeck et al., 2013), so individuals of each Pacific Arctic population have experienced dramatic physical changes within their lifespans. The phenological changes we detected for Chukchi belugas indicate strong adaptive capacity by individuals. In contrast, our results suggest that Beaufort belugas have not strongly responded to the changing environmental stimulus within the decades of our study. Behavioral flexibility among individuals and populations may facilitate adaptive responses by belugas as a species, although it is still hard to anticipate what changing phenologies, or possible non-response, will mean for long-term population persistence. Trends in population abundance are lacking for Chukchi and Beaufort belugas (Laidre et al., 2015), but future research should examine population-scale implications to life histories and demography. For example, measures of body condition, sampled from subsistence-harvested belugas (Suydam, 2009; Harwood et al., 2014), could be compared to changing sea ice conditions (e.g., George et al., 2015).

Shifts in migration timing are not only ecologically meaningful, but also affect many indigenous communities who have relied on belugas for subsistence, cultural, and spiritual resources over millennia. Changes in migration phenology could impact the accessibility and availability of belugas to hunters; however, most harvests of Chukchi and Beaufort belugas in Alaska and western Canada occur in the spring and early summer when we have limited tagging data. Additional studies and data that can further illuminate changes in both spring and autumn migrations will enhance our ability to understand future beluga responses as well as resource security for people who depend on them.
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Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Table S1. Details on 65 Beaufort and Chukchi beluga whales (n = 38 and n = 27, respectively) satellite-tagged during the early (1993–2002) and late (2004–2012) periods. Longevity refers to the number of days from capture until the last location (xBeaufort = 81.9 days, xChukchi = 105.0 days).

Table S2. Results of linear regressions comparing tagged beluga autumn day of migration past each passage boundary and regional freeze-up day of year.

Figure S1. Linear trends in the Bering Sea, Chukchi Sea, and Beaufort Sea freeze-up day of year (DOY) during 1979–2013 (top row) and separated into periods (bottom row) before belugas were tagged (1979–1992), early period (1993–2002) and late period (2004–2012).

Figure S2. Mean number of hours (± standard error, gray bars) each day of the year (DOY) when beluga vocalizations were detected (top row) and the cumulative distribution of days with beluga vocalizations each year (bottom row), for the Beaufort Sea (left column) and Bering Strait (right column) hydrophones.

Figure S3. Linear relationships between Beaufort beluga migration day of year (DOY) at each passage boundary compared to freeze-up DOY in the Beaufort Sea (left column), Chukchi Sea (middle column), and Bering Sea (right column).