Prevalence of algal toxins in Alaskan marine mammals foraging in a changing arctic and subarctic environment
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A B S T R A C T

Current climate trends resulting in rapid declines in sea ice and increasing water temperatures are likely to expand the northern geographic range and duration of favorable conditions for harmful algal blooms (HABs), making algal toxins a growing concern in Alaskan marine food webs. Two of the most common HAB toxins along the west coast of North America are the neurotoxins domoic acid (DA) and saxitoxin (STX). Over the last 20 years, DA toxicity has caused significant illness and mortality in marine mammals along the west coast of the USA, but has not been reported to impact marine mammals foraging in Alaskan waters. Saxitoxin, the most potent of the paralytic shellfish poisoning toxins, has been well-documented in shellfish in the Aleutians and Gulf of Alaska for decades and associated with human illnesses and deaths due to consumption of toxic clams. There is little information regarding exposure of Alaskan marine mammals. Here, the spatial patterns and prevalence of DA and STX exposure in Alaskan marine mammals are documented in order to assess health risks to northern populations including those species that are important to the nutritional, cultural, and economic well-being of Alaskan coastal communities. In this study, 905 marine mammals from 13 species were sampled including: humpback whales, bowhead whales, beluga whales, harbor porpoises, northern fur seals, Steller sea lions, harbor seals, ringed seals, bearded seals, spotted seals, ribbon seals, Pacific walruses, and northern sea otters. Domoic acid was detected in all 13 species examined and had the greatest prevalence in bowhead whales (68%) and harbor seals (67%). Saxitoxin was detected in 10 of the 13 species, with the highest prevalence in humpback whales (50%) and bowhead whales (32%). Pacific walruses contained the highest concentrations of both STX and DA, with DA concentrations similar to those detected in California sea lions exhibiting clinical signs of DA toxicity (seizures) off the coast of Central California, USA. Forty-six individual marine mammals contained detectable concentrations of both toxins emphasizing the potential for combined exposure risks. Additionally, fetuses from a beluga whale, a harbor porpoise and a Steller sea lion contained detectable concentrations of DA documenting maternal toxin transfer in these species. These results provide evidence that HAB toxins are present throughout Alaska waters at levels high enough to be detected in marine mammals and have the potential to impact marine mammal health in the Arctic marine environment.

Published by Elsevier B.V.
1. Introduction

Harmful algal blooms (HABs) most commonly occur in temperate and tropical regions; however, current climate trends such as ocean warming and loss of seasonal sea ice, are likely to expand the geographic distribution and the duration of conditions that support blooms (Moore et al., 2008; Van Dolah, 2000), making HAB exposure potentially more common among marine mammals in Alaskan waters (Burek et al., 2008). Species of phytoplankton known to be toxic are not new to Alaskan waters. Diatoms of the genus *Pseudo-nitzschia*, have been documented as far north as the eastern Beaufort Sea (Bursa, 1963) and produce domoic acid (DA), the toxin responsible for amnesic shellfish poisoning (ASP). Domoic acid was first detected in low levels in razor clams in Kachemak Bay in July 1992 (Ralonde and Wright, 2011). Dinoflagellates of the genus *Alexandrium* produce toxins that cause paralytic shellfish poisoning (PSP) and have been well documented in Alaskan waters (Gessner and Middaugh, 1995; Gessner et al., 1997; Gessner and Schloss, 1996; Lewitus et al., 2012; Trainer et al., 2014). Saxitoxin (STX) is the most potent of the PSP causing toxins. Both DA and STX affect the central nervous system of vertebrates. Saxitoxin acts as a sodium channel blocker and prevents action potential activity in nerves causing paralysis primarily of the respiratory system (Cusick and Sayer, 2013). Domoic acid is an excitotoxin that over-stimulates glutamate receptors in the vertebrate central nervous system causing stimulation of nerves (Berman et al., 2002; Berman and Murray, 1997; Todd, 1993). While PSP has been documented in humans in Alaska since 1799, the only documented cases of ASP in humans occurred in Southeastern Canada in 1989 (Perl et al., 1990). Unlike temperate regions, no incidences of DA toxicosis and very few incidences of STX toxicosis have been documented in Alaskan marine mammals.

Ocean temperatures around Alaska are warming; shelf waters of the eastern Bering Sea have increased by almost 3 °C during the past decade (Stabeno et al., 2007). The lowest sea ice extent measurements since satellite monitoring began in 1979 were recorded during 2007–2009 (Stroeve et al., 2008) National Snow and Ice Data Center press release, October 6, 2009), until 2012, which is the record Arctic sea ice minimum documented to date. Loss of sea ice has allowed industrial maritime ship traffic across the Arctic to increase substantially. Ships can transport HAB species to new areas through ballast water discharge (Reeves et al., 2012), a process that is currently unregulated in the Arctic (Hallegraaf, 1998). Filter-feeding benthic invertebrates, zooplankton, and finfish can accumulate STX and DA and are well-known vectors of algal toxins to higher trophic level predators (Bargu et al., 2002; Costa et al., 2009; Lefebvre et al., 2002b; Wekell et al., 1994; White, 1986; Wohlgemuth et al., 1992).

The potential for health effects on Alaskan marine mammals may be high considering more than 40% of marine mammal unusual mortality events (UMEs) in the contiguous USA during the last 20 years have been attributed to algal toxin exposure (Flewelling et al., 2005; Gulland and Hall, 2007; Landsberg et al., 2014; Scholin et al., 2000; Torres De La Riva et al., 2009). The number of HAB-related standrings appears to be increasing in the contiguous USA as these events were relatively rare even in temperate regions only two decades ago (Landsberg et al., 2014). The negative impacts of algal toxins on marine mammal health have been well documented along the west coast of the USA. For example, the neurotoxic effects of DA were first reported in stranded California sea lions *(Zalophus californianus)* in 1998 through exposure from toxic planktivorous prey such as northern anchovies and Pacific sardines (Gulland, 2000; Lefebvre et al., 1999; Scholin et al., 2000). Clinical signs of acute DA poisoning in marine mammals include ataxia, head weaving, seizures or coma and/or death (Gulland et al., 2002). The frequency of DA-associated California sea lion strandings has increased since 1998 and strandings now occur annually, affecting hundreds of sea lions per year (Bargu et al., 2010). Additionally, a chronic neurological syndrome associated with repetitive sub-lethal exposure to the toxin is now recognized by behavioral changes, seizures, and atrophy of the hippocampal formation (Cook et al., 2015; Goldstein et al., 2008). Domoic acid has also been documented to cross the placenta of California sea lions and be present in milk, thus, neonates may be exposed in utero and after birth until weaning (Brodie et al., 2006; Rust et al., 2014). In addition to contributing to reproductive failure, in utero and lactational exposure to DA can result in developmental abnormalities leading to neurological and behavioral deficits in surviving offspring. Given that California sea lions and humans share a common prey base, sea lions serve as important food safety sentinels regarding the presence of HABs near California. The findings associated with DA exposure in California sea lions demonstrate the potential health effects for other marine mammal species, as well as the potential for marine mammals in other regions to be sentinels for public health threats. The effects of STX on marine mammals are not as well documented as they are for DA. The first reported STX-related mortality event involved humpback whales in the late 1980s when 14 humpback whales died near Cape Cod Bay after ingesting mackerel containing STX (Geraci et al., 1989). Saxitoxin was suspected (although not substantiated) to be a factor in 60 sea otter deaths in Alaska (Degange and Vacca, 1989) and in 117 Mediterranean monk seal (*Monachus monachus*) deaths in Western Sahara, Africa (Costas and Lopez-Rodas, 1998).

The goal of this study was to document the presence and extent of two algal toxins (DA and STX) in Alaskan marine mammals to identify emerging exposure risks in northern-ranging marine mammal populations, including those species that are important to people for subsistence purposes. Thirteen species were examined including: four cetaceans (humpback whales, *Megaptera novaeangliae*; bowhead whales, *Balaena mysticetus*; beluga whales, *Delphinapterus leucas*; and harbor porpoises, *Phocoena phocoena*), two otariids (northern fur seals, *Callorhinus ursinus* and Steller sea lions, *Eumetopias jubatus*), five phocids (harbor, *Phoca vitulina*; ringed *P. hispida*; bearded, *Erignathus barbatus*; spotted, *P. laruga* and ribbon seals, *Histiophoca fasciata*), Pacific walruses (*Odobenus rosmarus*), and northern sea otters (*Enhydra lutra*).

2. Methods

2.1. Marine mammal sample collection:

A variety of samples (feces, stomach contents, intestinal contents, serum, milk, urine, amniotic fluid, bile, aqueous humor, and pleural, peritoneal and pericardial fluid) were collected from Alaskan marine mammals that were stranded, harvested for subsistence purposes, or captured for research. Samples were also collected during the Northern Alaska Pinniped Unusual Mortality Event (UME) (http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/health/mmume/events.html). Not all sample types were collected from each animal. The majority of the samples consisted of feces, urine, serum and stomach and intestinal contents. Samples were frozen as soon as possible after collection to prevent degradation, although some stranded animals had various levels of degradation. Samples were stored frozen until shipped to the Northwest Fisheries Science Center’s Wildlife Algal-Toxin Research and Response Network (WARRN-West) laboratory (Seattle, WA, USA) for algal toxin testing. All live and stranded animal handling was consistent with approved humane practices under the following
permits: Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) permit number MA041309-5, and National Marine Fisheries (NMFS) research permit numbers 358-1787, 15324 and 10091. A summary of the total number of animals, collection period, and locations is shown in Table 1. Additional detailed information on sample collection is provided in the sections below.

2.1.1. Humpback whale fecal, stomach and intestinal contents, aqueous humor, pleural fluid and urine samples (n = 8 animals)

During 2007–2011, samples were collected from stranded humpback whales from Southeast Alaska (n = 5), Kodiak (n = 2) and The Alaska Peninsula (n = 1). Samples were stored frozen in Whirl-Pak® bags at −40 or −80 °C until analyzed for algal toxins.

2.1.2. Bowhead whale fecal samples (n = 25 animals)

During 2006–2011, fecal samples from bowhead whales harvested for subsistence purposes were collected during the spring and fall in Barrow, Alaska. Sections of colon were cut and fecal matter was removed using plastic spoons. Samples were stored frozen in Whirl-Pak® bags at −20 °C until analyzed for algal toxins.

2.1.3. Beluga whale fecal, stomach contents, amniotic fluid, pericardial fluid and urine samples (n = 15 animals)

During 2007–2012, samples were collected from stranded Cook Inlet beluga whales. Three females were pregnant and samples were collected from both the mother and the fetus in all three cases. Stomachs from two belugas harvested for subsistence purposes at Hooper Bay were also collected. Samples were stored frozen in Whirl-Pak® bags at −40 or −80 °C until analyzed for algal toxins.

2.1.4. Harbor porpoise fecal, stomach and intestinal contents, aqueous humor and urine (n = 5 animals)

During 2010–2013, samples were collected from five stranded harbor porpoises from Cook Inlet, with both mother and fetus analyzed in one case. Samples included feces (n = 2), aqueous humor (n = 1), stomach (n = 1) and intestinal contents (n = 1) and urine (n = 2) with some animals having multiple sample types analyzed. Samples were stored in Whirl-Pak® bags at −40 or −80 °C until analyzed for algal toxins.

2.1.5. Northern fur seal fecal and serum samples (n = 179 animals)

Between 7 and 15 October 2010, serum samples were collected from 131 live-captured adult female northern fur seals with pups on Saint George Island (Pribilof Islands) and fecal samples were collected from 48 northern fur seals harvested on Saint Paul Island (Pribilof Islands) for subsistence purposes. Samples were frozen in cryovials or Whirl-Pak® bags and stored at −20 °C until analyzed for algal toxins.

2.1.6. Steller sea lion fecal, stomach and intestinal contents, amniotic, pleural, peritoneal and pericardial fluid, bile and urine samples (n = 42 animals)

During 2004–2013, samples were collected from 42 stranded Steller sea lions, some of which were rookery pups and aborted fetuses on rookeries. Stranded animals were sampled across Alaska from southeast Alaska through Prince William Sound and through the Aleutian Islands. Samples were collected in amber vials (bile), Whirl-Pak® bags (feces, and stomach and intestinal contents) and cryovials (urine, and amniotic, pleural, peritoneal and pericardial fluid) and stored at −80 °C until analyzed for algal toxins.

2.1.7. Harbor seal bile, feces, aqueous humor, placenta and urine samples (n = 9 animals)

During 2008–2012, samples were collected from nine stranded harbor seals; three in Southeast Alaska (Bartlett Cove in Glacier Bay, Lynn Canal, and Sitka), four in Southcentral Alaska (Kachemak Bay, Resurrection Bay, Kenai, and Cook Inlet), one in Southwest Alaska (Izembek Lagoon), and one in Bristol Bay (Egegik). Samples were collected in amber vials (bile), Whirl-Pak® bags (feces and placenta) or cryovials (aqueous humor and urine) and stored at −60 °C until analyzed.

2.1.8. Ice seals (ringed (n = 113), bearded (n = 55), and ribbon seals (n = 21)) stomach and intestinal contents, fecal and urine samples

During 2006–2013, samples from ice seals harvested during spring and fall for subsistence purposes were collected from the coastal communities of Hooper Bay, Savoonga, Gambell, Little Diomede, Shishmaref, Kotzebue, Point Hope, Wainwright and Barrow in the Bering Strait region and Chukchi Sea. Whole stomachs or a piece of intestine were collected in Ziploc® bags. Urine was collected in a centrifuge tube. All samples were frozen and shipped to the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) laboratory in Fairbanks and stored at −20 °C. Stomachs and intestines were thawed and 5 ml of content was removed from each, placed in centrifuge tubes with screw caps, and refrozen. During May of 2009–2010, live captures were conducted by the National Marine Mammal Lab (Alaska Fisheries Science Center’s Polar Ecosystems Program) and samples were obtained from ice floes and collected using a metal shovel to scoop the urine soaked ice which was placed in Whirl-Pak® bags and frozen at −80 °C until analyzed for algal toxins.

Table 1
List of species, collection status, period and locations, and total number of animals for each species of the 905 marine mammals sampled in Alaska (AK).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Collection status</th>
<th>Collection period</th>
<th>Collection locations</th>
<th>Total # of animals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Humpback</td>
<td>Stranded</td>
<td>July 2007 to Sept. 2011</td>
<td>Kodiak, The AK Peninsula, Southeast</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowhead</td>
<td>Harvested</td>
<td>Spring &amp; Fall 2006 to 2011</td>
<td>Barrow</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor porpoise</td>
<td>Stranded</td>
<td>Aug. 2008 to July 2011</td>
<td>Cook Inlet</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern fur seal</td>
<td>Harvested &amp; Live Capture</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Saint George &amp; Saint Paul Islands</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steller sea lion</td>
<td>Stranded</td>
<td>May 2004 to March 2013</td>
<td>Gulf of AK</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor seal</td>
<td>Stranded</td>
<td>May 2008 to Aug. 2012</td>
<td>Gulf of AK, Egegik</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ringed seal</td>
<td>Harvested</td>
<td>Nov. 2006 to Nov. 2012</td>
<td>Barrow, Chukchi Sea, Bering Sea</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearded seal</td>
<td>Harvested</td>
<td>Oct. 2007 to June 2013</td>
<td>Barrow, Chukchi Sea, Bering Sea</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spotted seal</td>
<td>Harvested &amp; Snow Urine</td>
<td>Nov. 2006 to Nov. 11</td>
<td>Barrow, Chukchi Sea, Bering Sea</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribbon seal</td>
<td>Harvested &amp; Snow Urine</td>
<td>May 2009 to Oct. 2012</td>
<td>Barrow, Chukchi Sea, Bering Sea, Yakutat</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific walrus</td>
<td>Harvested</td>
<td>May &amp; June in 2012 &amp; 2013</td>
<td>Saint Lawrence Island</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern sea otter</td>
<td>Stranded &amp; Live Capture</td>
<td>April 2004 to May 2011</td>
<td>Gulf of AK</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1.9. Pacific walrus stomach and intestinal content samples (n = 82 animals)

During May 2012–2013, stomach and intestinal contents were collected from walruses harvested for subsistence purposes from the coastal communities of Gambell and Savoonga on Saint Lawrence Island. Hunters collected the samples in situ and brought them to shore where they were frozen on site at −18 °C and shipped to the ADF&G laboratory in Fairbanks and subsequently stored at −20 °C until algal toxin analysis. Stomachs and intestines were thawed and 5 ml of content was removed and placed in centrifuge tubes with screw tops and refrozen.

2.1.10. Sea otter urine, pericardial fluid and maternal milk samples (n = 193 animals)

From 2004 to 2011, samples were collected from northern sea otter carcasses (n = 172) recovered in the Gulf of Alaska and Aleutian Islands—notably Sitka, Juneau, Glacier Bay, Yakutat Bay, Prince William Sound, lower Kenai Peninsula, lower Cook Inlet, Kodiak, eastern Aleutians and Cold Bay. Additionally, urine samples (n = 21) were collected during 2011 from live-captured otters from the northern end of Kuiu Island in the southern Gulf of Alaska. Samples were collected and stored at −20 °C until analyzed for algal toxins.

2.2. Sample extraction for toxin analysis

All samples were thawed at room temperature. Depending on the amount of sample available, 1–4 g was weighed out or 1–4 ml was aliquoted into a 15 ml polypropylene screw-cap tube (Falcon-BD). The initial extraction step was carried out by adding 50% aqueous methanol (for DA extraction) or 80% ethanol (for STX extraction) to the sample in a 1:4 wt/wt ratio (1 part sample, 3 parts solvent) and thoroughly vortexing the sample. For fecal material, stomach contents and intestinal contents, samples were homogenized for at least 60 s using an Omni ES homogenizer. The homogenized sample was then centrifuged at 10,000g (Sorvall RC 5C Plus centrifuge) for 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was then filtered through a 0.22 μm membrane microcentrifuge tube filter (Millipore Ultrafree-MC centrifugal concentration device, Durapore membrane, 0.22 μm pore size) and spun in a desk-top microcentrifuge (Eppendorf model 5415C) for at least 10 min at a setting of 14. For urine, serum and other body fluids, samples were sonicated (Branson Sonifier 450) at 50% pulse for 45 s at a setting of 5. Samples were then centrifuged at 10,000g for 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was then filtered through a 25 mm diameter, 0.45 μm pore size syringe filter (Pall Gelman Acrodisc PSF GxF with GHP membrane). All sample extracts were stored at 4 °C until analysis by ELISA.

2.3. Quantification of algal toxins in marine mammal extracts:

Algal toxins were quantified using commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits; Biosense® DA ELISA for DA and Abraxis saxitoxin ELISA for STX, following the instruction protocol supplied by the manufacturer (Biosense® Laboratories, Bergen, Norway and Abraxis LLC, Warminster, PA) with slight modifications based on sample matrix. These kits were originally developed for testing shellfish rather than marine mammal samples. Consequently, testing in order to determine matrix effects for feces, stomach and intestinal contents, urine, bile, aqueous humor, serum, and milk were performed in a previous study (Lefebvre et al., 2010). For DA ELISAs, the minimum dilutions of the 1:4 50% MeOH extracts required to eliminate all matrix effects were 1:100 for feces and bile, 1:50 for milk and stomach and intestinal contents and 1:10 for urine, aqueous humor and serum, using our extraction methods and ELISA kits. For STX ELISAs, a 1:50 dilution of the 1:4 80% ethanol extracts was sufficient to eliminate matrix effects in all sample types. Additionally, the Abraxis ELISA kit is designed to measure only STX (with some limited cross-reactivity to several other PSP toxins, as listed in the Abraxis product documents), consequently all PSP levels are listed as STX equivalents and as such, may underestimate the presence of other congeners. With these minimum dilutions, the detection limits for DA in sample material were, 4 ng/g or ml for feces and bile, 2 ng/g or ml for stomach and intestinal contents and milk, and 0.4 ng/ml urine, aqueous humor and serum. The detection limit for STX in all sample matrices was 3 ng/ml.

3. Results

Algal toxins were detected in at least one animal from all 13 species of marine mammals sampled (n = 905 total animals; Tables 2 and 3). In addition, 46 individuals contained detectable concentrations of both DA and STX, including 3 of 8 humpbacks.

Table 2
Summary of the number of domoic acid-positive individuals from 13 species of Alaskan marine mammals, including the sample matrix with the highest concentration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Number of animals</th>
<th>Number positive</th>
<th>% Positive</th>
<th>Max conc. (ng/g or ml)</th>
<th>Sample matrix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cetaceans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humpback whale</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowhead whale</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beluga whale</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor porpoise</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otarids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern fur seal</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steller sea lion</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phooids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor seal</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ringed seal</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearded seal</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>IC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spotted seal</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribbon seal</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odobenids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific walrus</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>6457</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mustelids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern sea otter</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F = feces, SC = stomach contents, S = serum, IC = intestinal contents, U = urine.
Table 3
Summary of the number of saxitoxin-positive individuals from 13 species of Alaskan marine mammals, including the sample matrix with the highest concentration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Number of animals</th>
<th>Number positive</th>
<th>% Positive</th>
<th>Max conc. (ng/g or ml)</th>
<th>Sample Matrix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cetaceans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humpback whale</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowhead whale</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beluga whale</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor porpoise</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otariids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern fur seal</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steller sea lion</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phocids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor seal</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ringed seal</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearded seal</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>IC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spotted seal</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribbon seal</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odobenids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific walrus</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>IC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mustelids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern sea otter</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F = feces, SC = stomach contents, IC = intestinal contents, U = urine, na = not applicable.

6 of 25 bowheads, 5 of 110 ringed seals, 3 of 44 bearded seals, and 20 of 82 walruses tested for both toxins (Table 4). Saxitoxin and DA were present in marine mammals sampled throughout the study area, from the southeastern Gulf of Alaska to the eastern Beaufort Sea (Fig. 1). Domoic acid was detected in more animals (Table 2) and species (all 13) than STX (10 species; Table 3).

3.1. Domoic acid

Bowhead whales had the greatest prevalence of DA (68%), followed by harbor seals (67%), walruses (41%), harbor porpoises (40%), humpback whales (38%), Steller sea lions (27%), bearded seals (25%), northern sea otters (25%), ribbon seals (24%), ringed seals (17%), beluga whales (13%), northern fur seals (5%) and spotted seals (3%; Table 2). The highest concentrations were found in feces, stomach contents, intestinal contents and urine (Table 2).

The maximum DA concentration detected was from the intestinal contents of a 15-year-old female walrus (6457 ng/g) from the northern Bering Sea. Domoic acid was also detected in three fetuses (one beluga, one harbor porpoise and one Steller sea lion). Fig. 2 shows all DA positive fecal, gastrointestinal and urine samples for all species examined. Additionally, DA concentrations in feces and urine from ten California sea lions (CSLs) sampled from the central California coast that were exhibiting clinical signs of DA toxicosis (seizures) are shown in red in Fig. 2 for comparison. These CSLs were selected from Appendix A, Table 1 of a report on toxin detection methods for marine mammals where both urine and feces were analyzed for comparison in multiple animals (Frame and Lefebvre, 2012). The identification numbers were used to access the Marine Mammal Center Database to determine which animals were observed to have seizures.

3.2. Saxitoxin

Humpback whales had the greatest prevalence of STX (50%), followed by bowhead whales (32%), walruses (28%), northern sea otters (23%), ringed seals (14%), bearded seals (14%), Steller sea lions (10%), beluga whales (8%), northern fur seals (5%) and spotted seals (1%; Table 3). The highest STX concentrations were detected in feces, stomach and intestinal contents, and urine (Table 3). The maximum STX concentration was detected from the intestinal contents of a 21-year-old male walrus (240 ng STX equiv./g; Table 3) from the northern Bering Sea. Additionally, this walrus also contained a high concentration of DA (991 ng/g) in the intestinal contents sample.

4. Discussion

The number of species and the extensive geographic range in which DA and STX were detected demonstrates that HABs are present throughout the Alaskan marine environment and thus the potential for health effects due to exposure is present for all 13 Alaskan marine mammal species tested in this study. For adult marine mammals, DA and STX are being ingested through prey, however, in the case of DA, fetuses and suckling young can also be exposed through amniotic fluid and milk (Rust et al., 2014). Maternal transfer of DA has been well documented by laboratory studies and natural environmental exposures with California sea

Table 4
Summary of animals (N=46) that tested positive for both domoic acid (DA) and saxitoxin (STX) and mean (±sd) toxin values (ng/g or ml).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>DA</th>
<th>STX</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Collection locations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Humpback whale</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21 ± 26</td>
<td>30 ± 28</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Southeast Alaska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowhead whale</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>83 ± 137</td>
<td>48 ± 11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Barrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ringed seal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6 ± 2</td>
<td>41 ± 73</td>
<td>F/SC</td>
<td>Chukchi Sea, Bering Sea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearded seal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11 ± 14</td>
<td>8 ± 6</td>
<td>F/SC/U</td>
<td>Chukchi Sea, Bering Sea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific walrus</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>524 ± 1432</td>
<td>64 ± 80</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>St. Lawrence Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern sea otter</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2 ± 2</td>
<td>7 ± 3</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Kachemak Bay, Juneau, Glacier Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample matrix includes: F = feces, SC = stomach contents, IC = intestinal contents, U = urine.
lions (Maucher and Ramsdell, 2005, 2007; Ramsdell and Zabka, 2008). In the present study, one beluga whale fetus, one harbor porpoise fetus, and one Steller sea lion fetus contained detectable concentrations of DA in stomach contents and feces, further documenting the risk of maternal transfer of toxins from pregnant females with environmental exposures to these biotoxins. Additionally, several sea otter pups and harbor seal neonates contained detectable concentrations of DA, however whether they were actively nursing is unknown. The diets of the 13 species tested in this study are varied due to their diverse marine mammal life histories and range from zooplankton, to benthic invertebrates, to fish.

4.1. Cetaceans

4.1.1. Humpback whales

In Alaska, humpback whales seasonally range from the southern Gulf of Alaska to the Chukchi Sea during the summer months. During winter they migrate south to Mexico, Baja California and the Hawaiian Islands to breed and calve. Feeding in cooler Alaskan waters typically occurs during the spring, summer and fall months (Baker et al., 1986). There may be resident populations of humpback whales in the southeastern Gulf of Alaska. In Alaska, their diet consists of krill and many different kinds of fish including herring (Clupea pallasi) and capelin (Mallotus villosus); all of which are planktivorous and therefore likely vectors of DA and STX (Bargu et al., 2002; Doucette et al., 2005; Lefebvre et al., 2002a). A lower percentage of humpbacks tested positive for DA (38%, highest concentration = 51 ng/g feces) (Table 2) than STX (50%, highest concentration = 62 ng/g) (Table 3). The highest DA and STX concentrations were found in an individual that died from a ship strike, which may not be a coincidence because STX and DA intoxication have been suggested to be a factor in the loss of ability to avoid ships and to be a cause of stranding (Geraci et al., 1989).

4.1.2. Bowhead whales

The entire population of western Arctic bowhead whales ranges through Arctic Alaskan waters from the central Bering Sea to the Canadian Beaufort Sea during their annual migration cycle. Bowhead whales are an important subsistence species for western and northern Alaska providing more than ten villages with substantial meat and blubber each year. This stock of bowhead whales is listed as endangered under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), however the population is increasing (3% annually) and believed to have recovered substantially (George et al., 2004; Gerber et al., 2007; Givens et al., 2013; Zeh and Punt, 2005), suggesting that the current reduction in sea ice has had no detectable negative effects on population growth. Bowheads feed on small zooplankton consisting mainly of calanoid copepods and euphausiids, both of which consume phytoplankton (Moore et al., 2010) and are likely the source of the high occurrence rates of DA (68%) and STX (32%) in fecal samples.

4.1.3. Beluga whales

In Alaska, there are four stocks of beluga whales, which range from the Bering Sea to the Canadian Beaufort Sea. These stocks are abundant and support subsistence harvests. In addition, there is a fifth stock in Cook Inlet, a tidal estuary located in the northern Gulf of Alaska. All but two of the animals sampled were part of the Cook Inlet stock. This stock is the most genetically isolated (O’Corry-Crowe et al., 2002), was listed in 2008 as “endangered” under the ESA, and is not currently showing signs of recovery: no harvest is currently allowed. Generally, beluga whales prey on a wide variety of fish, crustaceans, and cephalopods. In Cook Inlet, primary prey species consist of at least three species of Pacific salmon (Chinook,
concentrations of both toxins were low with the highest level of DA at 7 ng/g from stomach contents of one animal and STX at 4 ng/g feces in another, the only STX positive beluga (Tables 2 and 3). This may be because beluga prey consists of fewer planktivorous fish and invertebrate species. Although DA has been shown to be widely distributed in fish species in California, the non-planktivorous fish species contained lower concentrations of toxin compared to planktivorous species such as anchovies and sardines (Lefebvre et al., 2002a, 2002b). This is similarly true for STX in that PSP toxins can be found in several of the prey species including Pacific cod and chum salmon, but at lower levels. Crabs and polychaetes are known to concentrate STX (Deeds et al., 2008).

4.1.4. Harbor porpoises

Harbor porpoises are widespread in the Northern Hemisphere, found in most cool temperate and subpolar waters (Jefferson et al., 1993), including coastal and inland waters, and are seldom found in waters with an annual average temperature above 17 °C (Read, 1999). They generally forage on small, pelagic schooling fish in waters less than 200 m deep (Shelden et al., 2014). Harbor porpoises are not harvested for food in Alaska. In Alaskan waters, harbor porpoise stock structure is unclear, but three stocks are currently recognized for management purposes: Southeast Alaska, Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea (Shelden et al., 2014). All species belong to the subspecies Phocaena phocaena yomensa. Harbor porpoises eat a wide variety of fish, cephalopods and benthic invertebrates with the main prey items varying by region and season (Culik, 2004; Jefferson et al., 1993; Reyes, 1991). In Cook Inlet, harbor porpoises feed on schooling planktivorous fish such as smelt (Family Osmeridae) and Pacific herring (Clupea pallasii pallasii) (Shelden et al., 2014), which are known to accumulate DA. Harbor porpoise samples were collected primarily from Cook Inlet with one animal each from Prince William Sound and Kachemak Bay. The highest concentration of DA was 15.3 ng/g, which occurred in the feces of one animal and the intestinal contents of another animal. One of these was pregnant and the fetus also contained DA at 8 ng/g in its feces documenting maternal transfer of algal toxins. Saxitoxin was not detected in any of the harbor porpoise samples. The higher prevalence of DA is likely a result of a planktivorous fish diet.

4.2. Otariids

4.2.1. Northern fur seals

Northern fur seals breed and give birth on the Pribilof Islands of Alaska in the southern Bering Sea during the summer (June–August) and pups remain dependent until mid-November when the rookeries are abandoned for the winter and weaning occurs abruptly. Immature males are harvested for food in summer. In Alaska, the majority of northern fur seals winter in the North Pacific and return to Alaskan waters the following spring. Movements from seals tagged with satellite-linked transmitters indicate that all sex and age classes can migrate thousands of kilometers as far west as Kamchatka and east to the west coast of the USA (Baker, 2007; Lea et al., 2009; Pelland et al., 2014; Ream et al., 2005; Sterling et al., 2014). Newly weaned pups may remain south of the Aleutian chain for two or more years before returning to the Pribilofs to breed. Northern fur seals in Alaska predominately forage on schooling fish and gonatid squid with walleye pollock representing approximately 40–75% of the prey observed in scat collections (Ream et al., 2005; Sinclair et al., 1994). Little is known about the recent winter diet since pelagic sealing and the collection of seals for scientific purposes has ceased. Collections made during 1958–1974 in the eastern Bering Sea, however, indicated that in addition to pollock, northern fur seals consumed capelin, Pacific herring, and squid (Perez and Big, 1986). The serum

---

**Fig. 2.** Domoic acid (DA) concentrations quantified in (A) feces and gastrointestinal (GI) contents and (B) urine for all Alaskan species sampled. Domoic acid concentrations detected in 10 California sea lions (CSLs) exhibiting signs of DA toxicity (seizures) are included for reference and shown in the box.
samples for this study were collected from adult female fur seals with young pups. Provisioning pups with milk every few days limits the distance that females can forage to relatively local waters near the Pribilof Islands and may explain the relatively low occurrence of DA and STX (both at 5% of the animals tested; Tables 2 and 3).

4.2.2. Steller sea lions

Steller sea lions range throughout the Pacific Rim from southern California, across the Gulf of Alaska, to Northern Honshu Island in Japan, and north into the Bering Strait (Lander et al., 2009). In Alaska, they are harvested for food and managed as two stocks, the eastern distinct population segment (DPS) and western DPS. From 1980 to 2000 there was a greater than 80% population decline in the western DPS, which included Russian and Alaskan waters of the Gulf of Alaska, North Pacific Ocean, and Bering Sea, leaving fewer than 55,000 individuals (Lander et al., 2009). Steller sea lions were listed as threatened under the ESA in 1990, and the western portion of the population was reclassified as endangered in 1997. The cause of the decline is unknown. The population has stabilized in the Gulf of Alaska and the eastern DPS, but continues to decline in the western and central Aleutian Islands. Adult Steller sea lions eat a wide variety of fish, with either walleye pollock or Atka mackerel (Pleuragrammus monopterygius) predominant in most areas. Other prey consists of schooling fish, including Pacific herring and salmon (Oncorhynchus spp.), with smaller numbers of Pacific sand lance (Ammodytes hexapterus), capelin, eulachon, Pacific cod, Pacific hake (Merluccius productus), flatfish, demersal fish, and cephalopods (Merrick et al., 1997). Several of these prey species are planktivorous including herring, juvenile chum salmon, walleye pollock and sand lance.

4.3. Harbor seals

In Alaska, harbor seals are primarily found in coastal waters throughout the Gulf of Alaska, Aleutian Islands, and Southeastern Bering Sea where they are harvested for food (Small et al., 2003). They are found in diverse habitats including glacial and non-glacial areas and are generally non-migratory (Bigg, 1981). Harbor seals mainly forage on fish including Pacific herring, rainbow smelt (Osmerus mordax), salmon (Salmonidae), walleye pollock, Pacific cod, greenling (Hexagrammidae), sculpins (Cottidae), Pacific sand lance, and flatfish (Pleuronectidae) (Pitcher, 1980a, 1980b). Invertebrates such as octopus, squid, and shrimp are also consumed (Pitcher, 1980a, 1980b). The importance of these prey items varies by location. In the Bering Sea and Gulf of Alaska, pollock and octopus are the most common prey items, whereas shrimp and capelin are most common in the southeastern Gulf of Alaska (Pitcher, 1980b). No harbor seals tested positive for STX, however six of nine animals tested positive for DA, although the maximum concentration was low; 8 ng/g feces (Table 2). Harbor seals had a much higher percentage of individuals that tested positive (67%) than spotted seals (3%) even though they consume similar fish species. This could be due to the more southern range of harbor seals compared to the spotted seal’s more northerly range in the Bering Sea. Most harbor seals sampled were from the Gulf of Alaska, which has warmer waters and as such are more likely to be exposed to HABs, although no data are available on HAB or shellfish toxicity for verification. Additionally, the samples sizes tested were vastly different (n = 9 for harbor seals and n = 158 for spotted seals) making direct comparisons difficult.

4.4. Ice seals (ringed, bearded, spotted and ribbon)

Ringed, bearded and spotted seals are sea ice-associated seals that range throughout the Bering, Chukchi and Beaufort seas in Alaska (Burns, 1970). Of these species, only ringed seals are currently ESA listed. Bearded seals were listed under ESA, but a court overturned the decision, which is being appealed by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Ribbon seals are also ice-associated and occur throughout the Bering and Chukchi seas, but are not often found in the Beaufort Sea (Burns, 1981). Although movements in winter months are restricted by sea ice, these seals move widely in spring, summer, and fall (Burns, 1970, 1981; Crawford et al., 2012; Harwood et al., 2012a, 2012b; Lowry et al., 2000). Ringed and bearded seals tend to inhabit areas that are seasonally ice covered and are found in heavy pack ice. Spotted and ribbon seals are less ice dependent at certain times of the year and can be found near the ice edge, in the broken pack ice, of the Bering Sea in winter and spring. The distribution of spotted seals shifts northward and toward the coasts as sea ice recedes in May and June and many spotted seals enter bays and rivers and haul out on sand bars and barrier islands (Burns et al., 1981). The distribution of ribbon seals also shifts northward as sea ice recedes in May and June. When sea ice melts, however, the majority of the ribbon seal population likely becomes pelagic in the North Pacific and the central Bering Sea, although some seals follow receding ice into the Chukchi Sea (Burns et al., 1981). All four species are harvested for food, mostly in spring and fall.

The diets of ringed, bearded, spotted and ribbon seals vary widely. Ringed seals feed mostly in the water column on pelagic and semi-demersal fish (including arctic cod, Boreogadus saida; saffron cod, walleye pollock, and sculpins) and invertebrates (including mysids, amphipods, shrimps and echiurids) (Crawford et al., 2015; Dunbar, 1941; Fedoseev, 1965; Johnson et al., 1966; Lowry et al., 1980; McLaren, 1958). Bearded seals feed on a wide variety of benthic invertebrates (including bivalves, gastropods, cephalopods, isopods, amphipods, shrimp, crab, echiurids and polychaetes) and fish (including arctic and saffron cod; sculpins; snailfish (Liparidae); pricklebacks (Stichaeidae); Pacific sand lance, and flatfish) (Antonelis et al., 1994; Burns, 1981; Chapskii, 1938; Crawford et al., 2015; Dunbar, 1941; Quakenbush et al., 2011; Smith, 1981). Spotted seals eat mostly pelagic fish including arctic and saffron cod; Pacific herring; and smelt (Bukhtiyarov et al., 1984; Frost and Lowry, 1981; Quakenbush et al., 2009). Ribbon seal diet is less well documented because most have empty stomachs when they are harvested in the late spring. But their diet is most similar to spotted seals and includes fish (arctic and saffron cod and pollock), shrimp (Cragonoid and Pandalid species) and octopus (Dehn et al., 2007; Frost and Lowry, 1980; Quakenbush and Citta, 2008).

Bearded (25%) and ribbon seals (24%) were similar in the percent sampled that contained DA. Fewer ringed seals (17%) were positive, but a female ringed seal pup had the highest concentration of DA (127 ng/g) of any of the ice seals. Spotted seals were the lowest (3% positive). Bearded and ringed seals were both 14% positive for STX and again ringed seals had the highest concentration (172 ng/g feces). Spotted seals were lower at 1% and STX was not detected in any ribbon seals. We would expect bearded seals, as benthic feeders, to be most vulnerable to STX. We would also expect spotted and ribbon seals, as fish-eaters, to be least vulnerable to STX. The higher values for both DA and STX for ringed seals may be due to some individuals consuming larger volumes of mysids, euphausiids, or amphipods (all of which eat algae and detritus) and may explain their higher exposure to HABs.

4.5. Pacific walrus

Pacific walruses are migratory, following the southern margins of the pack ice from the Bering Sea to the Chukchi Sea in the spring, where foraging is optimal in the relatively shallow shelf waters (Estes and Gilbert, 1978; Fay, 1982; Gilbert, 1989). Walruses are
harvested for subsistence and in addition to walrus tissues, clams found in the stomach during butchering are also eaten by harvesters. The Pacific walrus population is currently a candidate species under the ESA due to concern regarding the species’ response to changes in summer sea ice habitat (Robards and Garlich-Miller, 2013; USFWS, 2011). Walruses feed primarily on benthic invertebrates including marine worms (e.g., polychaetes, sipunculids and echiurids priapulids), mollusks (e.g., bivalves and gastropods), and crustaceans (e.g., amphipods, shrimp and crabs) (Born et al., 2003; Bowen and Siniffand, 1999; Dehn et al., 2007; Fay, 1982; Sheffield et al., 2001; Sheffield and Grebmeier, 2009) although fish and other vertebrates (including seals) are also occasionally reported (Fay, 1982; Seymour et al., 2014; Sheffield et al., 2001; Sheffield and Grebmeier, 2009). Walruses are not physiologically adapted for deep diving and concentrate foraging efforts in shallower waters, typically using the sea ice as a resting platform between feeding trips (Fay, 1982). Since 2007, walruses summering in the Chukchi Sea have been hauling out in large numbers at two terrestrial haulout sites on the eastern Chukchi Sea (Icy Cape and Point Lay) beginning in late summer when sea ice over the Continental Shelf disappears (Robards and Garlich-Miller, 2013).

Stomach contents from walruses sampled near St. Lawrence Island had the highest measured concentrations of both DA and STX of any species examined in this study (Tables 2 and 3). That 41% and 28% of walruses sampled contained elevated concentrations of DA and STX, respectively, is surprising due to the sampling location. These walruses were sampled in the northern Bering Sea during May, as they were moving northward with the receding sea ice (Fay, 1982). Water temperatures with sea ice present are not considered favorable to support HABs, although the DA and STX could have come from invertebrates eaten farther south. The elevated toxin concentrations in walruses suggest that DA and STX producing phytoplankton are well established in seasonally ice covered waters to accumulate in clams within the foraging range of walruses. That the highest concentrations of both DA and STX in this study came from walruses and that the walrus with the highest concentration of STX also had relatively high DA is cause for continued monitoring and investigation. The DA concentrations detected in walruses are similar to those detected in California sea lions suffering from DA toxicity, although hunters did not report abnormal behavior in any of the sampled walruses (Fig. 2; Lefebvre et al., 1999; Scholin et al., 2000).

Walruses and bearded seals are typically benthic feeders with overlapping ranges, but the percent positive and maximum concentration for both DA and STX were higher for walruses than bearded seals. This could be because bearded seals are more generalist foragers than walruses.

### 4.6. Sea otters

Three stocks of northern sea otters are recognized in Alaska: southeast, southcentral and southwest (Gorbics and Bodkin, 2001). The southeast and southcentral stocks are considered to be increasing. The southwest stock, however, was listed as threatened under the ESA in 2005, but is currently believed to have stabilized (USFWS 2014).

The primary prey of sea otters in the Gulf of Alaska (Southeast Alaska, Prince William Sound, Kachemak Bay and Kodiak Island) are clams, such as butter clams (Saxidomus giganteus) (Calkins, 1978; Doroff and Bodkin, 1994; Doroff and Degane, 1994; Hoyt et al., 2014; Kvitek et al., 1993). In contrast, the diet in the Aleutian Islands is dominated by sea urchins and a variety of finfish, including those in the families of Hexagrammidae, Gadidae, Cottidae, Cyclopteridae and Scorpaenidae (Estes et al., 1982; Kenyon, 1969). The majority of the sea otter carcasses recovered and sampled for this study were from the northern Gulf of Alaska (i.e. Kachemak Bay) where clams are an important prey item (Doroff et al., 2012; Newsome et al., 2015).

Given that clams are the predominant prey items for sea otters in Alaska, the percentage of sea otters containing detectable concentrations of DA and STX were lower than expected (Tables 2 and 3) and in the case of STX, may be due to avoidance behaviors. Although sea otters are not immune to PSP toxins, they can detect and avoid lethal amounts of toxic prey (Kvitek and Breit, 2004; Kvitek, 1991). However, acute toxicity from STX may have contributed to at least two sea otters being struck and killed by boats in November 2009 in the Kodiak boat harbor. Urine from these two otters were included in this study and had the highest concentrations of STX (45 and > 100 ng/g) for all otters tested. Their behavior prior to being hit by the boats was suggestive of intoxication as they were lethargic and non-reactive at the surface of the water.

Additionally, between May 12 and 28, 2011 sea otters (n = 21) were live-captured around Kuuia Island in Southeast Alaska (Fig. 1). Urine was collected and they were implanted with VHF transmitters and released (Hoyt et al., 2014). Twenty of these otters contained detectable concentrations of STX (3.0 – 28.4 ng/ml urine). During capture and handling, none of these animals exhibited any clinical signs (i.e., paralysis, difficulty breathing) associated with STX toxicity. After being released they were relocated and feeding was observed between August 2011 and May 2013. Tagged sea otters consumed a total of 32 unique prey types (Hoyt et al., 2014). In terms of biomass, the three most common prey items were clams (primarily Saxidomus giganteus) followed by green urchins (Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis) and Dungeness crab (Metacarcinus magister). Continuing to track DA and STX concentrations in sea otters will be important in understanding threats to their populations especially in the ESA-listed stock. As otters are a nearshore, highly visible species that do haul out on land in some human inhabited Alaskan locations, it may be prudent to set up protocols for documenting and reporting signs of HAB-related toxicosis.

### 4.7. Toxic exposure levels and data limitations

An understanding of how the concentrations reported here relate to those known to cause clinical signs of toxicity (behavioral neuroexcitotoxicity for DA and paralysis for STX) in mammals from other regions is needed in order to assess health risks to Alaskan marine mammals. Data on STX concentrations quantified in marine mammals experiencing toxicosis are lacking, however, data for DA concentrations are prevalent due to the regular occurrence of DA toxicosis in California sea lions along the central California coast, USA. Fig. 2 compares concentrations of DA quantified in feces and urine of ten acutely exposed California sea lions exhibiting seizures with concentrations quantified in Alaskan species. These data suggest that Alaskan marine mammals may already be near toxic exposures particularly in humpback and bowhead whales, ringed, bearded and spotted seals, Pacific walruses and sea otters (Fig. 2A and B).

A major limitation in the assessment of health risks is that toxin concentrations in marine mammal samples are not directly related to the magnitude of an animal’s exposure. For example, not all California sea lions with acute behavioral signs of toxicity (e.g., seizures) have high concentrations of DA in feces and urine because elimination rates are rapid (Lefebvre et al., 1999; Fig. 2). Passage rates for captive sea lions fed Pacific herring averaged less than 5 h (Helm, 1984) and laboratory studies have reported 95% of algal toxin is eliminated through urine within 24 h of dosing (Suzuki and Hietliby, 1993). Therefore, the concentrations presented here provide proof of exposure risk and evidence for
potential neurotoxic impacts to several marine mammal species in Alaska.

5. Conclusions

These results demonstrate that the algal toxins DA and STX are present in Alaskan Subarctic and Arctic ecosystems and have the potential to affect most marine mammal species in USA waters farther north than expected. Given the current trend of decreasing sea ice and warming ocean waters that will extend the open water season favorable to HABs, the prevalence and concentrations of DA and STX documented in this study are expected to increase creating a greater risk to marine mammals. Clinical signs of neurotoxicity were not confirmed in the present study, however many of the animals were dead when sampled. Additionally, toxin effects could contribute to an increase in ship strikes for large cetaceans and increased vulnerability to subsistence harvested seals, walruses and whales, both of which would be difficult to detect due to concurrent increases in ship traffic and changes in ice and weather patterns that affect hunting. Sea lions along the central California coast provide a cautionary example of increasing HAB impacts on marine mammal health. This threat to marine mammals was first recognized in 1998, and now has a major impact on sea lions annually. Recent studies have suggested that HABs are also affecting large cetaceans in southern latitudes such as the Minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata) (Fire et al., 2010). This study documents the presence of HAB toxins in marine mammals from southeast Alaska to the Arctic Ocean revealing a potentially growing exposure risk to northern marine mammal populations. Unless unknown factors inhibit HABs in northern waters, warming water temperatures and increased light availability due to loss of sea ice are likely to support more blooms increasing toxin concentrations and the health risks they present for northern marine mammal species as they have for southern species.
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